K AL DALL

## ANSWER

TOTHE

### Country Parson's Plea

AGAINST THE

QUAKERS Tythe-Bill.

INA

LETTER to the R. R. AUTHOR.

By a Member of the House of Commons.



LONDON:

Printed for J. ROBERTS, at the Oxford-Arms in Warwick-Lane. Price 1 s.

# ANSWER

THE OT

# Country Parson's Plea

CAGAINST THE.

QUARERS Tythe-Bill.

ANI

LETTER K. R. AUTHOR.

Hy a-Mem Commons.



THE WAR DO NO.

Plinted tory, Alogonary, at the Completion

## ANSWER

TO THE

Country PARSON'S PLEA against the QUAKERS Tythe-Bill, &c.

Liners is particularly to bear

Very Reverend,

Pamphlet hath been delivered at the Doors of both Houses of Parliament, and sent under the Franks of divers of my Lords the B—ps to the Parochial Clergy, entitled, PAPERS RELATING TO THE QUAKERS TYTHE-BILL, viz.

- 1. Extracts from the Yearly Epistles of Meeting of Quakers held in London, in Relation to Tythes.
- 2. Remarks upon a Bill now depending in Parliament, to enlarge, amend, and render more effectual the Laws now in being, for the more easy Recovery of Tythes, Church-Rates, Oblations, and other Ecclesiastical Dues, from the People called Quakers; And also, Remarks upon a printed Paper, entitled, The Case of the People called Quakers.

A 2 3. THE

3. THE COUNTRY PARSON'S PLEA AGAINST THE QUAKERS TYTHE BILL, bumbly addressed to the Commons of Great Britain assembled in Parliament.

ANI The Case of the People called Qua-

The Method of bundling up these Papers is particularly to be noted,

Pamphlet hath been delivered at the

The BILL, though much inveighed against, is not regularly open'd in them; and

The CASE, which is the Ground of the Debate, is printed at the End of the Papers designed to confute it, which seemeth as if the Compiler intended to prejudice the Reader against Both the Bill and the Case, before He should read either.

So very unfair a Procedure deserves Animadversion; and,

This Answer is directed to the Author of the Country Parson's Plea, and not to either of his Collegues, because I would not be charged with designing to expose the Nakedness of a Father, or with deriding the Dotage of a Mother in the Church;

I would

I would not be charged with directing my Answer either to the lightest, or the beaviest of the Triumvirate, whilst there is one to be animadverted upon, whose Parts and Capacity have raised him above Contempt, even in Spite of his sowsing Prostitution—of whom the Cause of Liberty and Virtue might fear as much Mischies, as He is known to bear Malignity to it, were not his Abilities so happily qualify'd by his Reputation, that the most impotent cannot be more harmless.

This Country Parson's Plea addresses itself to the Commons of Great Britain, in a Manner seemingly humble, modest, and fair.

Titled by their paying

- that it can be no Offence for the Meanest to offer Reasons to the Greatest;
- 2. " Nor a Reproach to any Man
  " to have a reasonable Concern for his own
  " Property.
- " make bold," &c. dal a made to que

This specious Preamble might induce us to believe,

That this Country Parson is humbly submitting his Case to an House of Commons better informed:

And not that it is the Fact in this, as in every Instance where a Bill for the Reformation of the Church is brought into Parliament, that one or two venerable Sages draw up an Invective against the Bill before it hath had a Second Reading, and send it franked by the Post to the Clergy through the Kingdom, to raise a Clamour from their Pulpits against the Proceedings of Parliament.

This Invasion of the Rights of the House of Commons hath been so openly practised by their spiritual L—ps, that Circular Letters to the Clergy of the several Dioceses and of the two Universities have been issued, under Covers inscribed with R. R. Names, on the bringing in of Bills in Three several Years, viz. First the Tythe Bill, 1731, next the Ecclesiastical Court Bill, 1733, and lastly, the Quakers Tythe Bill now depending.

With Infolence still more alarming, the Persons who issued these Letters have as openly menaced the Members who brought in those Bills; threatening to oppose them in subsequent Elections with the Weight of Church Insluence, which that it hath intimidated the worthy Members I presume not to believe, I am sure it shall never intimidate me, but if the Fact be enquired into, there are not wanting those who will make it fully appear.

15

e-

r-

W

th

by

n.

ıst

he

6-

ir-

al

ve

R.

in

be

11,

ill

10

to

th

at

I

111

be

le

ot

Not that any one laments to have heard these Menaces so frankly poured out against the Members, because if that venerable Body oppose their Interest in the Lands of England against the Rights of an House of Commons, it will speedily move the Wisdom of Parliament to check the Exorbitance of that Power which is so bold with their Liberties.

Whatever shall threaten the Representatives of the People in the free Exercise of their noblest Prerogative, the Redress of Grievances, must strike at the Lise of their Authority and Reputation; and most infamously treats them as a Body of Men called together for the Business of Taxing, and not at all for the Easing of the Subject:

As if the Commons of Great Britain were in Duty bound to grant Money for building of Gburches and repairing of Abbies, but were without a competent Jurif-diction to examine Abuses committed by the Clergy in their Suits for Tythes and Dues, and for more than their Due.

This, abfurd as it is, will be found to run through the Plea before us, as a Principle on which the Establishment of Church and State is founded, and without which the Clergy can have no Maintenance.

It is, says the Plea, a Reproach to no Man to have a reasonable Concern for his own Property.

And the whole Argument treats the Bill as a Violation of the Parsons Property, becuase it directs in what Manner he shall sue the Layman for Tythe.

This is contrived to enflame the Clergy against the House of Commons, as robbing Churchmen of their Property.

But I think the Word Property was never less warrantably used, than it hath been on this Occasion.

The Tythes of the Clergy, are the Wages, which, as Servants of the Publick, they receive from the Bounty of the Laws; and their Right in those Tythes arising purely from the Grace, their Remedy in suing for them must depend wholly on the Will of the Legislative Power.

A Layman's Freehold accrues to him by Inheritance from his Father: A Churchman's Freehold accrues to him by the Gift of the Publick, on such Conditions, as are or shall be declared to qualify the Tenure of the Possession, or the Recovery of any Rights incident to it.

By Non-compliance with these Conditions, as declared in a single Act of Parliament, i. e. the last Uniformity-Ast, Thousands have been deprived in a Year, not only of their Tythes, but their Churches, with the high Approbation of all zealous Churchemen.

And I must say, for the Reputation of the Sufferers in that Case, that as sensible as they were of their Hardships, they had greater Modesty than to call that a Property which they knew to be only a Trust:

25

he

s; ly

or

ie

m

hift

of

ats

By

Nor would it have been endured; and much less, that, knowing their Possessions to be held of this Publick Donation, they should have had the Infolence to treat any Interest incident to their Possession, as a Matter of Property, not belonging to the Disposition of Parliament. In the property of the Disposition of Parliament.

Every private Interest, even Rights of Inheritance, must be governed by the Consideration of publick Interest. Salus Populi Suprema Lex.

And, Nothing can be more infolent or incongruous, than to challenge the Donations of the Publick, as a Property not to be reformed for the Convenience of the Publick.

ser Realm, and with every one of them, "

#### [[19]]

No free State, no wife People, ever fuffered such a Doctrine to pass unreproved. The Agrarian Laws of the ancient Republicks in direct Contradiction to it, ordained the equal Distribution of Lands, and reformed the Grievance of excellive Property, by limiting and restraining the Possessions of their Subjects.

The Laws of England are not without the strongest Declarations of the same Wisdom in our Legislators; the Resormers of our Church, to their Honour be it ever remember'd, were the Men who avowed this Power of retrenching enormous Property to be the Prerogative of Parliament.

The Act concerning Peter Pence and Dispensations, 25 Hen. 8. cap. 15. hath this remarkable Presace.

WHEREAS, It standeth with natural Equity and good Reason, that in all and every Laws human, made within this Realm, or induced into this Realm by Sufferance, Consent, and Custom Your Royal Majesty, and your Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, representing the whole State of your Realm, in this your most high Court of Parliament, HAVE full Power and Authority, not only to dispense, but also to authorise some elect Person or Persons, to dispense with these and all other human Laws in this your Realm, and with every one of them,

as the Quality of the Persons and Matter shall require; and also the said Laws and every one of them to abrogate, amplify, or diminish, as it shall be seen unto your Majesty, and the Nobles and Commons of your Realm, present in your Parliament, meet and convenient for the Wealth of your Realm."

And because that it is now in these Days present seen, that the State, Dignity, Superiority, Reputation and Authority of the imperial Crown of this Realm, by the long Sufferance of unreasonable and uncharitable Usurpations and Exactions, practised in the Times of your most noble Progenitors, is much and sore decayed and diminished, and the People of this Realm thereby impoverished, and so or worse be like to continue, if Remedy be not therefore shortly provided:

C

is

mi

id is

16

t-

is

E,

ly,

ne

th

is

n,

as

It may therefore please your most noble Majesty, &c. (to take away Peter Pence and Payments to the See of Rome)

This Act provided against the Claims of Foreigners, we shall in the next Instance see how our Ancestors dealt with the Claims and pretended Properties of Churchmen who were Natives.

The Act for suppressing of Monasteries, which had not Lands above 200 l. by the Year (27 Hen. 8. cap. 28.)

er That fuch Regulation of Pro-

B 2

DE-

#### bus w Declares, olds both straper that

The Lords and Commons by a great Deliberation finally be refolved, that it is and shall be much more to the Pleasure of Almighty God, and for the Honour of this Realm, that the Possessions of such Religious Houses now being spent, spoiled, and wasted for Increase and Maintenance of Sin, should be used and committed to better Uses; and the untbristy Religious so spending the same, to be compelled to reform their Lives; and thereupon most humbly defire the King's Highness, that it may be enacted (to suppress them.)

Ecclesiastical Foundations, succeeded soon afterwards the general Surrender and Dissolution of all the Monasteries in the Kingdom, when so many Impropriations of Tythe became Lay Fees, and were alienated from the Church by Authority of Parliament.

Whoever reads these Acts, will find

1. That the Regulation of Ecclesiastical Property is so far from contradicting, that nothing can be more natural to the Genius of this free Kingdom

2. That such Regulation of Property in the Church, is the only Means by which any People can obtain a Reformation of Religion.

3. That

g. That the Parliament of this Kingdom, in diverting the Church of those Impropriations of Tythe, did not allow Churchmen to have any Property in Tythe;

And (4.) that if the Legislature now in being, proceeding in the same Course as our first Resormers, should think it expedient to abolish all Tythe remaining in the Church, or to convert it into Lay Fees, they could not want a sull and proper Warrant from the Acts of their Predecessors, so long as the Acts for Non-payment of Peter Pence, and for suppressing of Manasteries shall remain in the Statute Books.

But we are not debating the Question, whether Tythes ought to be continued: The Quakers (as a People who think them to be abolished by the Gospel of Christ, and not lawful by the Principles of Religion for them to pay) desire the Authority of Parliament to be in such manner interposed, that so long as they are laid upon them, it may be in the least oppressive Course, and by the most Summary Process.

The House of Commons, in Compassion to their Grievances, with the most unanimous, chearful, and ready Consent, ordered a Bill to be brought in, to enlarge, amend, and render more effectual the Laws now in being

being, for the more easy Recovery of Tythes, Church Rates and Oblations, and other Ecclesiastical Dues from the People called Quakers.

The Bill, by their Command, hath been printed. Sand in Sand (1) 1500.

### It recites to blood a common and the mo

- That by an Act, 7 & 8 W. III. a
- Remedy is provided for the Recovery of
- Tythes and Church Rates, not exceed-
- ing the Value of Ten Pounds, where Qua-
- kers refuse to pay them
- That by another Act, I Geo, I. the
- faid Remedy is extended as well to Tythes,
- as to all other Rights, Dues, or Payments
- from any Quakers belonging to any Church,
- Chapel, or the Minister or Curare thereof:

#### And it further recites,

'That it may be convenient to extend this Provision to a further Sum.

Sugarative Process.

#### It therefore enacts,

- 'That where any Quakers shall refuse to pay or compound, any two or more Ju-
- flices of the Peace (other than fuch Justice
- as is Patron of the Church, or interested
- 'in the Tythes) upon Complaint of the Par-
- fon, or Proprietor, or Collector, shall fum-

mon fuch Quaker to appear before them, and either upon Appearance on Default of Appearance (duch Summons being duly proved upon Oath ) shall proceed to hear the Complaint, and to flate what is due. and by Order under their Handso and Seals to direct the Payment thereof 10 that the Sum ordered do not exceed (a Sum to be limited by this Bill) and shall order reasonable Cofts not exceeding da Sum to be limited by this Bill;) and upon the Refusal of fuch Quakers to pay according to fuch Or der, it shall be lawful for the faid Justices, by Warrant, to levy the Money by Diffress and Sale of the Goods of fuch Ouaker? 5 rendring the Overplus (the necessary Charge of Diffress being thereout first deducted.) · And any Person finding himself aggrieved by this Judgment, may appeal to the next General Quarter Seffions, and the Juffices schere prefent chall proceed finally to hear and determine the Matter land to reverse or affirm the laid Judgment's and if they continue the Judgment, they shall give fuch Costs against the Appellant as they fhall think reasonable; and non Certiorari. or Writ from any Court shall remove or o fuperfede their Proceedings. assisted bind . · Judgment in the matter, but that the Per-

It is further enacted, That if the annual Value of such Tythes or Dues doth not exceed the Sum (to be limited by this Act) no Quaker shall be sued in any other Court or Manner, unless the Title of such Tythes shall be in Question;

adr .

And that if the Person against whom such Judgment shall be had, shall remove out of the County, Division, or Corporation, after such Judgment, the Justices who made the Order, shall certify it to any Justice of such Place to which the said Person shall be removed, which Justice is authorized to order such Sum to be sevied on the Goods and Chattels of such Person, in the same manner as the other Justices might, if such Person had not been removed.

fhall be excessive or unreasonable, but proportioned, as near as may be, to the Value
of the Sum

And any Perion finding biquielf againsted Provided alfo, That where any · Quaker complained of, for Abstracting, witholding, not paying, for compounding for fuch Tythes or Dues, shall infift upon any Prescription, Composition, or Modus Decimandi, Exemption, Discharge, Agree ment, or Title, whereby he oughe to be freed from the Payment in question, and shall deliver the fame in Writing to the . faid Justices, that then they shall give ho · Judgment in the matter, but that the Perfons complaining fhall be at liberty to e profecute in early other Court, as if this Act had not been made? and been ton? 'Act) no Quaker first be sund in a

And it is enacted. That fo much of the before recited Act as relates only to

the Recovery of Tythes or Dues shall be repealed;

And that any Person sued for any thing done pursuant to this Act, may plead the General Issue, and on that, or any other, give this Act and the Special Matter in Evidence; and if a Verdict or Judgment shall be for the Desendant, or if the Plaintist be Nonsuit, or discontinue the Action, the Desendant shall recover Treble Costs; and no Suit shall be commenced for any thing done pursuant to this Act, unless it be brought within a limited Time after such Cause of Action arises;

'And lastly, This Act is declared to be a Publick Act."

This is the Tenor of the Bill:

A Bill, which by a peculiar Fate, no fooner was brought into Parliament, than it united certain R. R. P—tes in the closeft Combination against it; and they, whose reciprocal Hatred was as sierce as the Rivalship for Power which occasioned it was unchristian and scandalous, at once laid aside their Animosities to oppose this most reasonable Bill, dreadfully collecting the Force of their several Abilities in a formidable Pamphlet, after having in their Feuds for Supremacy been hardly prevailed on to support the Provocation of each other's Company.

C

From

From this unexpected Alliance, hath arisen the Clamour of the Country Parson against this Bill; a Clamour indefatigably promoted, but by nothing more successfully than by the Words of the Plea, viz.

As I am a Subject of Great Britain, as well as a Minister of the Gospel, I have a Birth right in the Law, and in having all Questions relating to my Property determined in the Methods of Justice used in this Kingdom, and not referred to an arbitrary Decision. Nulli negatimus Justitiam, is the Language of Magna Charta.

This is a Suggestion, that the Bill deprives the Clergy of their Birth-right in the Law, that it subjects them to a Determination of Property not used in this Kingdom, that it refers them to an arbitrary Decision, and denies them the Justice which is promised by Magna Charta.

Some of these Complaints appear upon Examination to have no Meaning, and others to have no Force; that is, they might be Objections, did not they want the Foundation of Truth.

The Allegation of the Clergy's Birthright in the Law, as applicable to the Affair of Tythes, would make a Stranger imagine, that either they were born with the indelible Character upon them, or that every Priest had had Institution and Induction of a Benefice, even in bis Mother's Womb, ni maland ad on

If the Tythes, if the Dues, if the Maintenance which is now paid to the Clergy, were entirely taken away, they would lose no Birth-right they have in the Law; they might lose the Benevolence which they receive from the Law, and which the Legislature have the same Right to resume, as ever they had to establish, if the same Reason which induced the Grant should require the Resumption, if Publick Good should call upon them to reverse what their Ancestors consented to from no other, Consideration.

But the Bill hath not proposed the taking away of their Maintenance. It gives them such Methods of suing for it, as may be least vexatious in the Proceeding, and least oppressive in the End: a Method of recovering their Maintenance, which may not consume the Substance of those whose Labour maintains them.

Nulli negabimus Justitiam, are spoken by the C 2 King,

King, who is supposed (says the Lord Coke) to be present in his Courts of Justice, declaring, We will deny Justice to no Man.

These Words intend, that the Subject shall have Resort to a certain Court wherein he shall be determined; and not that he shall sue in every Court according to his Fancy;

Otherwise, he might sue in the high Court of Parliament for the Sum of sin and eight Pence; for, doubtless, the King is present as well there as in any inferior Court, and is speaking the same Words, Nulli negabinus Justitiam.

The Words then give no Man a Right to be heard in what Court he pleases, but in such as shall be appointed him;

And this is the only Birthright, which either Clergy or Laity can pretend to have in Determinations of Questions relating to their Property.

If the Court of Chancery by Injunctions shall stay Proceedings in the Courts of Common Law, if the Courts of Common Law by Prohibitions shall stay the Proceedings of Ecclesiastical Courts, is the Justice promised by Magna Charta denied to the Party who may not prosecute in those Courts?

No;

e)

n

e

S

1

U

--- No; for, he is not to have the Advantage of Law contrary to good Conscience; nor is any Man to sue in an improper Court, nor any Court to have Cognizance of an improper Cause.

And if the Courts of Common Law comprehend within their Jurisdiction the full View and Superintendancy of the Ecclesiastical Courts, if the Courts of Equity have the same Compass in reviewing and superintending the Courts of Common Law, with Power to abridge and restrain their Proceedings as Justice may require;

Hath not the Legislative Power, the Parliament of the Kingdom, supreme Cognizance of all Courts and Methods of Recovery, with like Power to restrain Suits, as they shall see Cause?

By Magna Charta the King is sworn to deny no Man Justice, to delay no Man Justice, &c. that is, he is sworn to execute the Laws.

But, is the Parliament bound not to alter the Laws, or not to amend, explain, and repeal them, as the Good of the Kingdom shall require?

The King, as Chief Magistrate, is under this Restraint: In this Capacity He cannot have Cognizance of Publick Convenience;

nience; but the King, Lords and Commons, as Legislators, cannot be restrained. They must provide for Publick Convenience, as superior to all other Considerations: So that to limit Parliamentary Power, as if it were Regal Power, is incongruous with Legislation, and not to be charged on the Words of the Great Charter.

If therefore the Country Parson is enabled by Parliament to sue in any certain Court, and may receive a Determination according to the Usage thereof, Justice is not denied bim.

The Words of the Plea, in setting forth the Hardships the Parson must suffer from this Bill, suggest, that the Power of Justices of the Peace to determine Questions of Property without Appeal, is a Method of Justice not used in this Kingdom:

A Suggestion so false, and so fully to be disproved by every Day's Usage, grounded on the Laws of the Kingdom made under many Kings, that I will charitably hope the Author of the Plea rather forgot than concealed the Facts which confute him.

It is a Method of Justice used in Questions of Property between the King and the Subject, where the Publick Revenue and the Trade of the Kingdom are concerned, cerned, and where the Value of the Property in Question, must beyond all comparative Proportion exceed the Value of the whole Tythe of the Kingdom.

Thus the Statute 12 Car. 2. Cap. 24. gives the General Quarter Sessions of the County Power to adjudge, to levy, mitigate, compound, or lessen the Forseitures and Penalties of Persons offending against the Laws of Excise;

And no Certiorari shall supersede their Proceedings, or any of their Orders relating to Excise.

5

By the 14 Car. 2. Cap. 11. Any Justice may commit Persons hindring Officers of the Customs in the Execution of their Offices; and the Justices at the Quarter Sessions may inslict the Penalty of 1001. upon him.

By 1 Jac. 2. cap. 19. the Justices of the Quarter Sessions are Yearly at Easter and Michaelmas to ascertain the Market-price of Corn or Grain imported.

As by 5 & 6 W. & M. cap. 7. they are to fettle the Price of Salt and Rock Salt.

And by the Statute 8 Annæ, cap. 18. two Justices may settle the Assize of Bread, from whom there may be an Appeal to the General Quarter Sessions only.

Alfo

Also by the Statute 12 Annæ, Parl. 2. Seff. 1 cap. 18. three Justices have Power to adjust the Charge of Salving stranded Goods.

Value of the Pro

And further, Justices of the Peace have Power given them,

By the 20 and 32 Car. 2. to convict Persons who offend against the Acts prohibiting the Importation of Great Cattle, &c. whom they may imprison for three Months.

By the 1 Annæ; cap. 21. they have Power to convict Persons knowingly, receiving, or buying Goods clandestinely run or imported, whom they may (for want of Distress) imprison for three Months.

By the 2 & 3 Annæ, cap. 14. they have Power to convict Persons who do not pay the Penalty of 20 s. per Bushel for Salt brought from Scotland, whom they may imprison six Months.

Power is likewise given by the 10 & 11 W. 3, cap. 22. That the Justices of the Peace at their General Quarter Sessions shall hear and determine Matters relating to the Duties on Salt, upon any Appeal by Persons grieved by the Judgment of two Justices; and the Determination of such General Quarter Sessions shall be final.

And by the Act I Geo. I. cap. 10.

One Justice of the Peace may convict Persons offending in the Fish-Trade, and sevy the Penalty of twenty Pounds by Distress or for want of it commit the Offenders to twelve Months Imprisonment.

2.

er

ed

ce

ct

ii-

Pc.

ıs.

ve

V-

or

of

ey

ot

ilt

ay

0

of

ns

ig al

h h

d

And by the Acts 6 Geo. 1. cap. 21. and 8 Geo. 1, cap. 18.

Two Justices residing near the Place where Seizures of Brandy or Vessels of the Burthen of sisteen Tuns shall be made, by Virtue of any Acts relating to the Customs for carrying uncustomed prohibited Goods from Ships inwards; or for relanding Certificate or Debenture Goods from Ships outwards; and where Seizures of Horses, or other Cattle or Carriages shall be made, for being used in the removing or conveyance of such Goods:

SHALL examine into, hear and determine all such Seizures, and their Judgment shall be final, and not liable to any Appeal (even to the Quarter Sessions) nor to any Writ of Certiorari.

And that the Justices of Peace may be enabled to hear and determine Questions of Property, it is enacted by

The Act 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 30. that Persons resusing, when summoned by such Justices to appear and give Evidence on any Information before such Justices, for D Offences

Offences against the Laws of Excise, shall forseit Ten Pounds.

It will now be incumbent on the Clergy, or their Advocate this pleading Parfon, to shew why the Clergy's Property in Tythe should not for the Ease of the Subjects of England, and for the Attainment of speedy Justice, be heard and determined by the same Methods which the Treasure of the Crown, and the Property of Merchants and Persons interested in all the Branches of Trade must submit to without Appeal.

These Laws affect not only the Liberty and Property of private Dealers, not only the Revenue which supports the Civil Government, but the Interest and Estates of that vast Body the National Creditors, who have trusted their Propertes to the Faith and Honour of Parliaments; nor do these Laws less affect the Funds on which the current Service of the Year, and the Sasety of the King and Kingdom must at all Times greatly depend.

These Laws, as they were enacted to determine Questions of Property, in Casses of this transcendant Value and Importance, have been always esteemed Laws of rigorous Advantage in favour of the Property which they are to recover and maintain.

They are Laws which by those Persons who are sued in Consequence of them,

and according to the Methods prescribed in them, have ever been conceived less indulgent or eligible than any other Laws upon which Suits or Profecutions may be grounded. Obdinate Weneval or melt; her invergeetly the

And the Extension of these Laws to other Branches of Trade, as it was lately proposed, occasioned the greatest Uneafiness amongst those who are liable to be fued in thefe Methods, that ever was known in our Times. To mob

has the and crasted section who Yet this fevere and rigorous Method of Justice have the People called Quakers defired of the Legislative Power for the Recovery of Tythes against them, rather than continue liable to the Multiplicity of Suits, the Protractions of Caufes, and excessive Costs of Suit, which are not less grievous to themfelves, than odious to the Laws, and repugnant to natural Justice. in reason to belo

1

f

0

e

y

Committee of the property west, or They wish not to be fued in this Method, because it is indulgent; They and all Mankind know it to be a Method fufficiently severe upon those who are fued: but they pray it from a reasonable View, that they may not be ruined by Suits in all Shapes carried on without End. lines, leak pilowing at a Remember better

They know it to be a fure Method of recovering Tythe, and are only follicitous for it, because it is short and speedy.

es la complante de la complante de la completa

White de control of the qual of This

This may be the Country Parfor's real Objection to the Method. For, he may defire to perfecute the Quaker as an Obstinate Nonconformist; he may gratify unchristian Revenge against Diffenters, by carrying on oppressive Suits against them; and may for this equitable Reason complain, that any Restraint of such Suits denies him the Mathods of Justice used in this Kingdom.

Law in Cases of the most general, important, and valuable Concern. To visyon A.

pose it, as a Method of Justice not used in this Kingdom, and therefore not used in determining Questions which relate to their Property:

Can it be thought credible that the Clergy incited, pursued, and established it themselves, as a Method of Justice in punishing Quakers, and all other Protestant Differences, instituting upon them Fines, Imprisonment, and Banishment from their Country by the same Arbitrary Decision; which as zealously as it was fought for in taking away the Estates of Nonconformists, is so grievously complained of as taking away the Birthright of the Clergy

[029]

in the Law, and depriving them of their Maintenance had de structe of f. . I ... many transfer and lagra had one less

be

an

n-

n,

m

F.

ly

NA-

H

CI

be

te

ne

it u-

nt

g

m i-

at

1-

as

y n If we look back to the Act made in the fourteenth Year of Charles the Second. entitled, An Act to prevent Missbiefs and Dangets arising by the People call'd Quakers; bes.

There it will appear, that Justices of the Peace, at the Quarter Seffions, had Power to convict by notarious Evidence of the Fact, and to fine, punish, and transport Quakers on Conviction of their holding that Persua-- " And, for the third Offence doil

Strange! that the Clergy fhould think the arbitrary Decision of a Quarter Softions competent to take away the Fortunes of this People, to banish them from their Country : vet incompetent to determine Suits brought against them for Tythes!

The Convictions were to be by two With Regard to Protestant Differers of all Denominations, the same Method of Profecution was established by the Influence of the Clergy.

The Oxford five Mile Act, to called, because it restrained diffenting Teachers, from coming within five Miles of any Corporation, 17 Car. 2. cap. 2. thoughly determined by the (

Enacted, that two Justices might commit Offenders of their own convicting to no less than fix Months Impliforment."

And by the Acts to suppress seditions

Conventicles, viz.

in the Law, and depriving them of their

#### I. The Statute 16 Car. 2. Cap. 4.

Two Justices were authorized for the first Offence to fine the Party five Pounds, or to imprison without Bail for three Months, and their Certificate was to be a Record of Conviction.

2. For the fecond Offence they were to inflict a Fine of ten Pounds, or fix Months Imprisonment:

There is will row are than Palices on

3. And, for the third Offence they might transport the Offender for seven Years, unless he paid one hundred Pounds before the End of the Sessions.

#### II. The Statute 22 Car. 2 cap. 1.

People, so benile them from their Conney

The Convictions were to be by two Justices of the Peace, and the Fines to be levied by their Warrants of Distress, though in some Cases to the Value of twenty Pounds.

- 2. The Appeal of the Party aggrieved was to be to the Quarter Sessions, and no Court was to intermeddle with any Causes of Appeal upon this Act, but they were to be finally determined by the Quarter Sessions only.
- 3. And a Justice of the Peace in one County, was to certify to the Justices in any other of the Flight of the Offender.

These Laws which were obtained before the bappy Revolution, and were dispensed with afterwards by the Toleration-Ast, in savour of the Protestant Dissenters in general, and by the Affirmation-Ast in savour of the Quakers in particular,

SHEW the Decision of Justices to be a Method in great Repute and Estimation with the Clergy before the Revolution.

And though they now suggest it to be a Method of Justice not used in the Kingdom; yet the Clergy themselves, after the Revolution, inserted the Clauses in the Affirmation-AA, which gave Justices of Peace the first Cognisance of Quakers Tythes.

They inserted them in a Law which had no relation to Tythe, and they would not agree that the Quakers Affirmation should be taken instead of an Oath, unless Justices of Peace might be trusted with this arbitrary Decision of their Tythes:

A Decision which they think reasonable to conclude the Quaker, but not the Parson in Questions relating to Tythe.

Thus the Power of Justices of Peace is a good and wholesome Provision, when given for the Benefit of the Clergy. But an unjust and arbitrary Method when used for the Ease of the Quaker.

Thus

Thus it is right to shut the Quaker out of all the King's Favour when the Parson holds it expedient to recover Tythe in the Country; but it is denying the Parson the Justice of the Great Charter, if contrary to his Option he is referred to a Decision in the Country:

Thus the Quaker shall have no Option of Courts of Justice to defend the Property which the Law hath given him in nine Tenths of his Substance;

But the Parson shall claim Liberty to profecute in Town and Country, in West-minster-Hall, the Ecclesiastical Court, and the Quarter Sessions, without Restraint, for the single Tythe in which he hath an Interest, or he will complain that the Justice of Magna Charta is denyed him:

And, the Quaker shall have suffered Prosecutions for forty Years together;

Yet the Country Parson shall tell us, that this Decision by Justices of the Peace, takes away the Clergy's Birth-right in the Law, and is a Method of Justice not used in this Kingdom.

The Country Parson, Isay, suggests it;

For, I can can hardly believe, that any Man above that low Charatter, would be so scandalously weak, as to suggest a Fast, which which the poorest Country Plowman, from daily Experience, must know to be false.

And were it possible, that one of greater Eminence could so far be wanting in his Duty to Truth, to his God, and his Reputation, it would shew him to be confirmed in that unblushing Prostitution which takes Delight in the want of honest Shame, though Enemies rejoice, and Friends are confounded at his Infamy.

A Person of this Habit only, could be capable of adding to the Number of unjust Complaints, the Clamour against the Determination of Justices in their Quarter Sessions, as an arbitrary Decision!

As if it were more arbitrary than a Decision of a Court of Equity, where a Clergyman must sue for his Tythes if he is dissatisfied with Common Law:

Or, as if it were more arbitrary than the Decision of a Court of Delegates, where he must have his last Resort, if he sues by Ecclesiastical Process.

It might be thought, from this Complaint of an arbitrary Decision, that the Clergy were remarkably fond of Trials by Juries, where the Verdict is in the Judgment of Twelve Men specially impanelled at every Assize: And that they oppose a Decision by

fort to a Standing Set of Justices.

Yer, strange as it may feem, it is certainly true, that of 1193 Suits for Tythe against the Quakers, 1094 of the Number sought either for the arbitrary Decision of Courts of Equity, where the last Resort is to the House of Lords, or for the more arbitrary Decision of Ecclesiastical Courts, where the last Resort is to a Court of Delegates.

The Bill now depending being to compel the Parson, as well as the Quaker, to abide by the Decision of the Court of Quarter Sessions;

A Person of this Habit only, could

And amending the Acts of King William and King George the First, which gave the Parson his Option of suing in this Method, this Bill being intended to restrain him from suing in any other:

Let us hear the Country Parson's Objection to this Variation of the Law.

the Decilion of a Court of

The Acts of King William (fays, he) took no Remedy from me; they provided an easy Remedy for the Recovery of Quakers Tythes and small Tythes; they did not shut up the King's Courts against me, but lest me at liberty

to take the new Method, or, if it was ne-

· ceffary, to refore to the old ones. of T

For this I had Reafon to be thank-I

ful, and have always chosen the new Me-

thod for my bwn fake and my Neigh-

to Lawinot only to recover Tythe, but-to

a Temporal Interest : And the slat (amoder

After fuch an Acknowledgment that the Remedy is easy, that the Parson had Reason to be thankful for it, and always had chosen it; Would any Man believe that fince those Acts have taken place, the Clergy have carried on in the most oppressive Methods no less than 1153 Prosecutions?

The Reason of which rigorous Suits seems to be, that the more Gentle do not answer the Parson's Intention in Suing.

This Intention is best to be understood from the Words of the Country Parson's Please which afferts, that the Law was designed to punish the Quaker withholding Tythe from the Parson.

So that the Recovery of Tythe is not fufficient to the Parson, unless he can punish by the Method in which he recovers.

The Parson, as a Principle of his Religion, holds Tythe to be of Divine Right.

on Yet chie in the Cife, whill the Par-

to rake do new Aleskod, or, it it w

an entransformer naving amudates to a little

The Quaker, as a Principle of his Religion, holds Tythe to be abolished by the Institution of the Christian Church.

The Law gives the Parson Tythe as a Temporal Interest; And the Parson goes to Law not only to recover Tythe, but to avenge the Divine Right of his Order upon the poor Quaker, who calls it Antichristian.

He knows the Quaker cannot yield that Tythe which all of his Sect hold to be against Conscience.

He can by Law recover it in any Court; And if the Proceedings of some Courts are more expensive than others, he will sue in those Courts, that he may punish the Quaker in the Method of recovering Tythe.

This may agree with the boundless Ambition and causeless Cruelty of felfish Ecclesiasticks, but it would be reproachful to the Justice of a Legislative Power that should allow one Part of the Subjects to take Advantage of the Unhappiness, the Infirmity, or Religious Prejudices of another Part, for the Ends of Vexation and Oppression.

Yet this is the Case, whilst the Parfon may worry Quakers for Tythe in every Court at his Will.

british na manaka kan ka ka ka d

If the Parson sues in the Exchequer, or prosecutes in the Ecclesiastical Courts, he can oppress the Quaker with heavier Costs; and perhaps, by Litigiousness, may for the Benefit of his Church, add the tenth Part of a Farthing to the Rate of his Tythe.

Will the Parson then be so charitable as to sue before the Justices, who can only levy his Tythe, but not oppress the Quaker with Costs?

No: for the Law, fays the Country Parson, was made to Punish the Quaker for defrauding me of my Tythes.

He will profecute where he may punish the Quaker with the greater Effect; and that Court whose Proceedings are most chargeable will be the Court wherein the Parson can most punish him.

Therefore,

To make Ecclesiastical Power terrible amongst Nonconformists, to subdue their Opposition to the Pride, the Insolence, and Usurpation of unconscionable Churchmen, to make severe Examples of those who oppose Divine Right, and to indulge a litigious insatiably-covetous Temper;

The Parson will sue for Tythe, not where he may easily recover it, but where he

can with greater Advantage at once recover or profecutes in the Ecclefiellical Girago bia can oppress the La for with heavier

This is called a foul Charge on the Olergy, and their Advocates want a Specification of Suits, that they may be able to vindicate their Conduct. Will the Parion then, be to chemicable

The Suits shall be specify'd in the proper Courfe of Enquiry ---- In this Place it is enough to observe, That as foul as the Charge can be, 'tis a Practice which every Clergyman hath at his Option; and whether it be fitting that the Clergy should be trusted with Power to Oppress, is a Question of so easy a Discussion, that they have not a Friend in the World, who, as to his own Particular, would choose to live at their and that Court whole Proceedings at work

It is taking away this Power to oppress, which occasions so great an Alarm.

The Country Parson, zealous for this Power, pretends, that the Want of it will expose him to Injury. their Oppolution

- The Quaker, Jays be, knowing that
- I have no Refort to the Law, but must be
- concluded by the Justices in the Country,
- will not only keep all my Tythe from
- me, but will use all his Art and Applica-
- tion to reduce the Value by the Judgment
- 4 in the Country, vasal y has your on orodw

The Justices in the Country will be under a Temptation to use this Power to cultivate an Election-Interest.

Many Quakers are Freeholders; and as they are great Traders, have Influence over many others, and will act as one Man in Opposition to the Parson.

ty, and no Interest.

This Objection is grounded altogether on a Supposition and this Allegation in this Allegations and the state of the suppositions.

That the Quakers, one of the leffer, Bodies of Differers, are stronger in Property and Interest, than all the Clergy of the Church of England, the two Universities included;

Consequently, That the Quakers Weight in Trade will bear down the Clergys Weight in Land; And,

That Country Gentlemen will court an Election-Interest rather among the Quakers than the Clergy; whereas in Fact, two Thirds of the Counties of England are governed in their County Elections by Church Interest;

Every Clergyanen by his located with

the courses with the Sick, by his Knowledge

ker or the Parson be most likely to prevail by the Partiality of Country Gentlemen?

This Parson says be bas but one Vote, which is hard upon him; because, to my Knowledge, He hath more than one Benefice.

He fays He bath no Interest, which I am equally forry for; because, if it is true, it is owing to his very bad Character.

But can this Allegation be general? Can a Beneficed Clergyman have no Interest where He hath such a Property as Tythe, and where every Farmer must submit to his Will, to avoid oppressive Prosecutions?

This Power of maintaining a Multitude of Suits is the most formidable Power of the Crown. And, if an Officer of Excise can in some Degree instuence Votes in Elections by his Power to oppress (which many Statutes provided to restrain such Insluences have declared) Can a Clergyman, having Power to prosecute, be without the same Instuence over the Votes of his People? and is there not the same Reason to restrain it

Every Clergyman by his Interest with the more devout and yielding Sex, by his Intercourses with the Sick, by his Knowledge of all the Family-Affairs in his Parish, and by reconciling even the Quarrels which He foments, may procure to himself the highest Influence over the Votes of his People.

When the Weight of his Property in their Lands is added to his Interpolition in their private Affairs; when Fear co-operates with Kindnels, and He can awe those into Submission whom He cannot perswade to love Him:

What Parishioner, having a Vote in Elections, can refuse it to the Request of his Parson?

I was ever of Opinion, that a Clergy man must have the world Judgment of any Man in his Neighbourhood, if He hath not the Best Interest.

And, the Pretence that Tryals of Tythe by Justices of Peace will become sub-fervient to an Election-Interest, might naturally make a Quaker sear to abide this Tryal, where the Biass of the Court must by so great a Probability be in Favour of the Clergy.

o

is

But is this the Difference between the Parson and the Quaker?

The Justices, if ever so much biassed, can only by a speedy Decision levy the Tythe; and, this will not aggrieve the Quaker:

Whereas the Parson covets a protracted Suit in an expensive Court, which F may may not only recover his Tythe, but gratify his Revenge.

With this View He magnifies the Quakers Dealings in Trade, and Interests in Elections:

As if it could transcend that Interest in Land, and Power in Elections, which the Tythe of the Clergy, the Endowment of Chapters, Colleges, and Universities, and the Episcopal Revenues of the Kingdom, have established in Favour of the Church:

A Power, that every Man fees to be daily increasing from the Capacities of that Corporation, which is enabled to purchase all the Lands of England in Mortmain:

A Power that will, in the Course of Time, if it be uncheck'd in its Progress, extend its Sway over all the Property of the Kingdom, tho' contrary to the Genius, and tending to the Destruction of this free Government!

With the View of supporting this exorbitant Power, the Country Parson takes the Infamous Liberty of taxing the Justice of all Mankind.

'Tis his Grievance, That,

1. 'By this Bill he must be ty'd down, for the Value of his Tythes, by the Judg-' ment of two Justices, out of whose Lands the Tythe arises, and whose Tenants pay it. 2. • The

- The two Justices who gave the Sentence will be on the Bench at the Quar-
- ter Seffions, or if they are not, it may hap-
- e pen that their Brother Justices may think it more expedient that a Parson should lose
- forty or fifty Shillings (a great Part of the
- Demand) than that two worthy Gentlemen
- Justices of the Peace should be suspected
- of Partiality."
- 3. The established Ministry will be lest

reach boried that as he went and I

- without a Maintenance, by the Iniquity and Partiality of Witnesses, who are ge-
- e nerally Farmers and Countrymen, and un-
- dervalue the Tythes though by Evidence
- y upon their Oaths."

This is the decent and charitable Manner in which the Country Parson expresses himself of the whole People of England, from the Country Gentleman down to the Country Farmer:

As if the Gentlemen in the Commission of the Peace for the several Counties of England, had not as fair a Reputation for Justice, and as few Temptations to be partial as the Judges of an Ecclefiastical Court, who, though the Creatures of the Clergy, sit there to decide the Properties of Laymen: Or,

As if it were a foul Charge on any Man who wears a Cassock, to suppose he will be oppressive in his Suits, or enormous in

### [44]

his Exactions, though manifestly for the enriching of this Family, and impoverishing his Adversary should all so and live sometimes

Yet a modest and fair Accusation, that none of the Gentlemen of England are sufficiently impartial, to render Justice between Clergymen and Quakers.

## The first Allegation, and to assistant

for the Value of his Tythes to the Judgment of two Gentlemen, out of whole Lands the Tythe arises, or whose Tenants

# Is abfolutely falle and the substitute of the su

Because, 1. The Bill excepts such Justices as are any ways interested in the Tythes;

2. The Parson who may complain to any two fustices, never will complain to any one, out of whose Land the Tythe arises, or whose Tenant pays it; but

He will have the whole Commission of the Peace, to pick two Justices out of, and will certainly apply where he hath most Expectation of Partiality to his Order.

### The next Allegation, 1 and shined

That when two Justices of the Peace have given Sentence, the Gentlemen, of the County may think it more expedient that

[ 45 ]

that the Parson should lose forty or fifty
hillings (a great Part of his Demand) than
that two of their Brethren should be suspects
ed of Partiality that to the American

Ansal box allale, evoluilem bruide el coding

It not only reflects on the Honour of the Gentlemen of England; but supposes, that two Justices cannot err in their Judgment without Suspicion of Partiality: And

of a Country will be partial and unjust raw there than that two of their Brethren should be accounted remoneous in any particular Judgment.

Did the Country Parfor learn to think thus of Judicial Proceedings from those in Ecclesiastical Courts? Is the Chancellor of the Diocese, or the Dean of the Arches, partial in favour of the Clergy, lest the Church suffer Scandal from the Supposition that a Clergyman, who loses his Cause, is unjust in his Suit?

What have the landed Gentlemen of this Kingdom done to offend this Parson, that they, who of all others are most interested in the publick Happiness, should be treated as least of all qualify'd to administer publick. Justice?

ment

at the Parlan thould loke forty or fifty-

Band of Rapparees, combin'd to support each other in Acts of Injustice;

And, less to be suspected of deciding Suits impartially, than that wooden Implement of Church-Power, who judges by Commission from the Clergy, who owes them Partiality in Requital for his Promotion, and is tempted to encourage a Multitude of Suits to encrease his own Fees of Office?

wherein this candid Parson resides, will make him the most publick Acknowledgments of these indiscriminate Resections, which so remarkably distinguish his Humanity as a Gentleman, his Politeness as a Scholar, his Meekness as a Clergyman, and his Charity as a Christian,

But I hope at the same time, that he will never be admitted to sit amongst them; because a Man, who can think of them as such a partial Set of Miscreants, may probably be an Example to justify his own Ressections.

And as to the Charge on the Farmers and Countrymen, who are represented in every Part of the Plea, as robbing the Clergy of their Maintenance by their Iniquity and Perjury, in undervaluing the Tythes, it will be a sufficient Answer in general, that if there

Vehicle three the header Genderten with

there was less Avarice and Injustice in the Clergy, they would be less forward in accufing the Country of Iniquity and Perjury.

It is Fact, that the Farmers in general never gave the Parson so high a Rate for his Tythe, but he look'd on it as below his due, and were they to give him nine Tenths of their Substance, it would not satisfy the ravenous Spirit of some Clergymen, so long as the Farmers retain one Part in ten to themselves.

Is this severely spoken of the Clergy? Let any Man judge whether it can be more severe than warrantable, when provok'd by a Clergyman, who is capable of defaming all the Gentlemen of England as partial and unjust Judges, and the whole Yeomanry of England as Knaves in their Dealings, and perjur'd Villains in Courts of Justice.

Persuading myself, that so much as hath been said on this Subject will vindicate the Honour of English Gentlemen, and their Competency for the Jurisdiction which is given them by this Bill;

I proceed to examine the rest of the Pretences, that it must injure the Parsons Property:

Of which none can be more enflaming, than the Complaint in the 16th Page. No Quaker, fays the Parson, will,

after such a Law shall be made, set out
his Tythes, but will retain them to his own
Use; and I shall be debarred of having
them in kind, how necessary soever they be
to my own, and my Family's Subfiftence.

By this Law, the Parion cannot fee out his own Tythe, and carry it away; but the Occupier of the Land must fet it out; and if the Parion intermeddle with the Corn before the Occupier hath fet out the Tythe, he is liable to an Action.

The Quaker's Conscience will not permit him to set out the Tythe: So that with the Law on one Side, and the Quaker's Conscience on the other, no Tythe can ever be set out in this Case."

Would any Man imagine, after this grievous Complaint of the Law on one Side, and the Quaker's Confeience on the other, that the Bill actually gives the Parson a better Remedy than ever to receive his Tythes in Kind? And, that if they are really necessary to his own, or his Family's Subsistence, he may have them in Kind of the Quakers by the Aid of this Bill?

He fays, the Quaker's Confeience will not permit him to fet out the Tythes:

But then, the Bill Jays, the Justices Warrant shall immediately levy these Tythes

So that the Diffress may be made upon the tytheable Matter, and the Parson may have it in Kind, with better Measure than if the Quaker had set out his Tythes;

And the Quaker shall pay the Costs.

This is easy Justice.

のとしいい

But the Parson hath alledg'd, 'That by the Laws in Being, He may recover Treble Damages of every Quaker not setting out his Tythe.'

This is the Parfon's real Objection against the Bill; and a most Conscientions.
Objection it will appear to be; For

The Parson knowing the Quaker to be restrained by Scruple of Conscience from setting out his Tythe, looks upon the Penalty of Treble Damages to be a sure Interest, which he hath at present in every Quaker's Property; and whereas he hath but one Tythe of any other Man, he takes Three of every Quaker, or Six Shillings in the Pound from the Produce of the Land, besides destroying great Part of the Remainder, by loading him with Costs of Suits.

G

Can

## [ 59 ]

Can you think then, that the Parfon will consent to a Law which may pin him down to his Tythe only, and not allow him to recover treble Tythes of every Quaker in his Parish?

Can you think that, whilst the Quaker's Default of setting out Tythe is so profitable to the Parson, he will ever consent that a Justice of the Peace should set them out for the Quaker, and save the poor Man from the Penalty of a Default?

This is Reason with a Parson against the Provision of this Bill, but can never be a Reason with any other Man. It may be an Interest of precious Concern to the Clergy, but it would be a Reproach to Publick Justice, were they suffered to demand Three times the Value of their Tythes in the King's Courts, on pretence of their not being set out, when any two Justices of the Peace in the Neighbourhood may grant their Warrant to take them in the Field, in the Barn, or wherever they may be found.

If this does not fatisfy the Parson, there is an Expedient, which, as I have heard and believe, the Quakers will not oppose, and which must filence the Parson's Objections.

the Farmer is

D

e

Ø

d

C

-

10 at

1,

sd

1,

re.

)-

's

ne

of Equity; in which Cale, The two Justices of the Peace, who have Power by their Warrant to levy the, Value of the Tythes when they are with-held, may by their Warrant authorize a fit, Person to fet them out when they ought to be paid in Kind. very in these Cales

The Law, in this Case, will not impole it on the Quaker to fet out Tythes contrary to his Conscience, nor will the Quaker be subject to pay three Tythes for not having fet out one : But an Officer will be appointed to fer out the Tythe, which the Quaker, from his Scruple of Confeience, is disabled to set our himself. And the Parfon will not then have the Plea of Necessity to justify his going to Law for his Tythes, nor the Scandalous Temptation of fund the Quaker for Three times the Value of them. know not that the Law propoled

The Parfon may answer, that this will be a Provision for the Recovery of Great Tythes, as Corn, Hay, &c. But how shall he take his small Tythes? Must I, fays be, in the 27th Page, watch when a Cow falls into Labour, or must I keep a Register of all the Calves and Pigs that are born in my Parish? Change Hope in a crafty

This leads us to the Objection he makes in the next Page against this Bill; core construction care value

' As the Law now stands, the Parfon can bring a Bill of Discovery in Courts G 2 of of

[ 52 ]

of Equity; in which Case, the Farmer is obliged to set out his tytheable Matter and the Value, and is liable to Prosecution for Perjury if he is guilty of it. But is every Justice in the Kingdom to be erected into a Chancellor. If not, by what Progreeding shall the Parson make a Disconvery in these Cases?

for first puts a Case of a Bill of Discourry to be brought in the Exchequer after this Law shall take Place: Yet in the next Paragraph complains, that no such Bill can be brought there. He first complains of the Hardships he shall suffer in bringing such a Bill, and next sets it forth as his Hardship that he cannot bring such a Bill. This is, Extraordinary leading a such a Bill. This is,

Quaker for Three times the Value of them. I know not that the Law proposed will take away the Jurisdiction of Courts of Equity to retain Bills of Discovery; I am not certain that the Proceeding by Bill in Equity is the most reasonable Method to be used for fugh Discovery. But in Answer to that arch Question - Is every Justice to be erected into a Chancellor? I must fay, it's feems as reasonable that there should be a Chancellor in every Court of Quarter Seffions as in every Court of the Clergy: And, I think if the Law must compel a Quaker to discover the Value of his Tythes, that in this Case, the Cheapest Method of Discovery will be the Best Method; and that the

amine him with as good Effect (thought not with so much Cost) as the Parson can interrogate him with, in the Court of Exchequer.

There is a flight Objection in the fame Page work'd up into a lond Complaint, That the Justices can't compel Witnesses to attend them.' But to remedy this, the same Clause may be provided in this Bill, as in Relation to the Excise, by the Ash 7 & 8 W. 3. which inflicts the Penalty of Ten Pounds on any one who shall not appear as a Witness on Summons from a Justice of the Peace. And, min single ad your thought

The Quaker must be equally sollicatons for such a Clause, as He must be equally affected by the Want of Means to compel the Appearance of Witnessen away but

The man of the party of the

f

So that in the Manner of working up this Objection, the Gountry Parson strains it beyond what Truth can justify standard deavours to make the Clergy think, from this present Want of Power in Justices, that this Bill denies it, because it does not make the Bills in seemeth intended to leave the Property of Tythe precarious to the precarious to the property of Tythe precarious to the property of Tythe precarious to the property of Tythe precarious to the preca

Nature can hardly be prepared to full in the first Drawing, as to want no additional Clause; and the Ordinary Forms of Parlia-

ment give ample Opportunities for inferting them before it becomes a Law. wanted not with (5 miles) toth) as the Pariso can

#### It is next Objected, That

'In the Original Cause before the Justice, the Parson is supposed to have Just Cause of Complaint, and to recover in fome Share or other, and to be entitled to Cofts, side wheneve or sull " med borns

a may be provided in this Bilt, as in

#### Relation to the Parties, by tanhabnA 80 8 which inflicts the Penalty of Ten

- It is probable He may ordinarily be the Appellant to the Quarter Seffions. and Costs may be against him.
- How is it then, fays the Parfon, that the Bill limits the Costs in the first Inftance when the Parson is to receive them. and leaves them arbitrary in the fecond, when the Parson is to pay them? Manner of working up

There is nothing more in this Objection, than that two Justices are limited in giving Costs, and the whole Bench at their Quarter Seffions left to give Cofts according to Discretion of And and an asimple and ther in the Manner of drawing

I. In the first Instance of Complaint, it may be reafonable to specify the Costs, which shall not be exceeded; to the End that the two Justices, tho' ever so partial to the Complaint, may not oppress the Defendant with Costs. But. Children Torms of Childe

1/1/11

Quaker appear to be litigious, it may be reafonable that all the Justices of the County should have Power to give exemplary Costs, though it might be less safe to leave it in the Discretion of any two of them.

And now we come to the grievous Objection against the Bill; (Page 29) That

If the Parson bring an Indictment or Information against the Quaker or his Witnesses for Perjury, and fail to make a Legal Proof (as it is suggested he easily may do) in that Case, the Bill says, the Desendant shall recover Treble Costs.

Extreamly hard! that a false Accusation of Perjury should be liable to TREBLE Costs!

If the Parson cannot prove Perjury, why should he charge it? If the Nature of the Proof is difficult, does not Justice impose this Difficulty? Ought any Man to be convicted of such a Crime from slight Appearances? from a covetous Parson's Suspicion; or from a disappointed Parson's Resentment? And if the Parson wants the Evidence which the Law requires, should the Law allow him unpunished to publish so much Insamy?

This is his Manner of moving Compassion for the Clergy;

- before whom he complains, as unjust Judges.
- Justices, before whom he brings his Appeal, as partial to the Injustice of their two Brethren.

If he brings a Bill of Discovery in the Exchequer,

- 3. He represents the Quaker, as perjuring himself to defraud the Parson of his Tythes.
- Or, If an Issue be directed by the Exchequer for the Value of Tythe to be try'd by the Country,
- Jury to be very partial; and,
- 5. The Witnesses in every Case and every Court to be perjured and corrupt.

Such a Combination is supposed to be in every Country against the Claim of Tythe!

If the Parson (holding all these Articles of Faith as necessary to Salvation) cannot prove this Injustice, Partiality, Perjury, and Corruption;

that Decides by the Land that What the this

Why then he must pay Treble Costs?

Did ever the Christian Church fusier

Yet a Layman would be set in the Pillory for a Tythe of that Defamation which this Parson hath published against the Justices of this Country.

I confess, if I might judge of a Man's Conscience who should publish so much Slander against all Ranks and Degrees of Men, I should take it to be of the blackest Complexion. An honest Man will repose a reasonable Considence in the Honesty of other Men, but he who would have no Man thought to be Just, seems to wish, that no Man should be in a Condition to do him Justice.

However, fince the Opposition to this Bill hath had its chief Support from the Clamour against the Power of the Justices, since the Gentlemen of England have been charged with such Corruption, Partiality and Injustice, it hath been proposed that the Judge of Assize should be appointed instead of the Court of Quarter Sessions, to hear and determine Appeals; And, the Quakers have not objected to this Proposition, because they desire no other than speedy Justice, and will be glad of receiving it any where.

н

Nor can the Parson object to this final Decision by the Judge of Assize, who can have no Interest to cultivate in the Country, who cannot have any undue Regard to the Justices from whom the Party Appeals, and who will not be the same Person at any two Assizes in the same Circuit.

There are nevertheless some Objections against this Alteration of the Bill

I. It is not yet known that the Judges are willing to be charged with this additional Load on the Business of the Assizes. And, it may not be reasonable to impose it upon them, if it can be other ways disposed of, because the late Acts of Parliament, especially those relating to Discharge of Debtors, have already occasioned more Business to come before them than the usual Time of Assizes will allow them conveniently to determine.

And,

2. The next Objection is of still greater Weight. Such an Alteration would countenance the scandalous Objections against the Honour of the Gentlemen of England.

And therefore, I declare my felf freely on the Matter, I shall give my Negative to it.

Every Gentleman by this time hath formed his Judgment whether the Justices of Peace deserve this Trust; or whether the Power with which this Bill invests them,

will be Effectual to the Ends for which it is proposed. And therefore I shall not examine any farther the Country Parson's Objections, either to their Qualifications for the Cognizance of Tythes, or to the Sufficiency of their Jurisdiction for the Recovery of Tythes. But,

y e

e

is [-

0

er

of

6-

n

W

11

d

ft

it.

th

ės

er

n,

iH

The general Effect which their Decisions will have upon the Interest of the Clergy in Tythe, as it is foretold by the Country Parson, deserves very particular Notice.

- "The Quarter Sessions Price, Jays be, will be a standing Rule for the Value of Tythes in every County. And
- The Bill will probably, in Process of Time, introduce a general Modus Decimandi for the whole Kingdom.

To this I answer, That,

I cannot see the Probability of any such Consequences to slow from this Bill: Or, that when the Justices have determined the Value of Tythe in one Farm, it will be a Rule for the Value of Tythe in any other.

For, if the Soil is feldom of equal. Goodness in any two Men's Lands, (and the Produce must always be in Value according to the Goodness of the Land;)

Will it be sufficient for any Farmer to say before the Justices, You have determined the Value of another Man's Tythe at H 2 such

no higher, though the Land which I farm is of treble Value, and produces a treble Crop?

Or, will it conclude the Parson with respect to any particular Estate that the Justices
determined the Value at such a Rate in any
particular Year? Will he not shew that the
Land hath been improved, the Produce increased, aand that the Value of his Tythe is
greater than it was last determined?

This infinite Variety of Case, this frequent Difference between one Farmer's Land and another, nay between the same Land in different. Years, will make it impossible that the Quarter Sessions Price, as declared on any Occasion, can become the standing Rule for the Value of Tythe in that County, or even in that Parish where it ariseth.

But if a general Modus Decimandi for the whole Kingdom were to enfue; if a known determinate Value could be established for the Tythe of every County, though I see no hope of attaining it, I think sincerely, that it would be a general Good as well to the Clergy as the Laity: For,

The Clergy would be endowed with a better Maintenance, though they were allowed a less Rate, and the Laity would be charged charged with a lighter Burthen, though they paid a higher Rate, and a swon doidy a driver

The Certainty of the Medus would fave the Expense of those unhappy Controversies which impoverish the Parson, who even raises the Value of his Tythe, and which beggar the Farmer, though he brings it bestow the Value.

The Title to the Modes would be simple, clear, and unperplexed; the Recovery of it easy and short. There would be no Occasion of racking the Layman's Conscience to discover on his Oath against the Biass of his Interest. And there could not possibly be as Opportunity of cheating the Parson of his Maintenance, as is now said to be practised, by Rerjury, Rartiality, and Injustice.

ı

di

of J.

7:

ith

al-

be

The Advantages of this certain Appointment for the Maintenance of the Clergy, are manifest in those Parishes where it is levied by a Pound Rade upon the Inhabitants; nor will I deny the Reverend Order this Justice, that, tenacious as they are of the Divine Right of Tythes, they have never scrupled to prefer a Parliamentary Right to a Pound Rate, where it promised them a better Revenue.

In general, it may be faid with Truth, there never was an Imposition on Mankind more

hath on Abole Tere, the nounc

more fruitful of Law-Suits, than the Claim of Tythe, which never knows a certain Value.

Nor was there ever an Imposition more grievous and oppressive than the Claim of Tythe, which takes a Tenth from the Produce of the Poor Man's Labour, who manures the Land, who employs his own Stock to raise the Tythe, and surrenders the Choice of the Harvest to the Priest, who neither ploughs nor sows.

The Improvement of the Land is at least equal to the Value of the Land, and a Tenth Part of the Produce free from all Charges of raising it, is not a Tythe, but a FIFTH Part of every Man's Property; a standing Land Tax of Four Shillings in the Pound upon all the Subjects in England.

If it be confidered with respect to fmall Tythes, the Grievance is heaviest upon the poorest of the People. Those who are rather Objects of Charity, and fit to receive Alms, are the Subjects of Ecclesiastical Oppression, and compelled to pay Tythe.

If a poor Widow, the Labour of whose Hands, and the Produce of whose Garden, is the only Subsistence of herself and five or fix Children, hath an Apple-Tree, she must give the Tenth of her Fruit; if she keep a Hen, she must give every Tenth Egg, or every Tenth Chicken; and if she hath a Ree-

63 ]

Bee-Hive, she mult yield a Tenth of her Wax and her Honey,

To the Parson of the Parish;

Who, if he is not fatisfied with her Contributions, will profecute her in the Ecclefiaftical Court, and make her depose upon Oath how many Pippins grew upon her Tree? how many Chicks were hatched? how many Eggs were addled? And what Casualties happened in the Management of her Bee-Hive.

This was the Complaint of Chaucer's Plowman against the Priests of his Times;

For the Tything of a Duck,

Or an Apple, or an Aye,

They make Men swere upon a Book;

Thus they foulen Christes Faye.

Is it then unreasonable to complain of this Tythe of the Clergy, as the East-Wind that withers the Fruit, the Caterpillar that destroys the Harvest in the Ear, the Locust that preys upon the Property of the Rich, and eats up the Bread of the Poor? an Harpy that carries Law-Suits in one Claw, and Famine in another? that devours what the Publick Taxes spare, and is more inexorable than an Excise?

A

re

()

1

n,

OF

ıA

a

or

a

This prodigious Usurpation upon the Property of Mankind makes the Bill before us the more absolutely necessary, and moves all Indignation against the Parson, who suggests, That the Bill will encourage the Quaker in setting up Right upon the Pleas of Conscience to another Man's Estate?

I faid to myfelf, warm wor foot

Doth not the Country Parson appeal to every Man's Conscience, when he demands Tythe of Divine Right?

When he claims it as a Duty to God?

And must he not so far allow the Quaker's Conscience to decide?

But, fays the Parson,

could be examined in this Point. Is he a Land-Owner? Let him be asked, upon his Conscience, whether he paid any Consideration to the Vender of the Land be yound the usual Price, and upon a Supposition that no Tythes would be due from his Land. Is he a Farmer? Let him be asked, whether he pays more Rent than a Church-man used to give for the Farm, and in Consideration of his paying no Tythe. If he cannot say that he either bought,

bought, or hired the Tythe (and he can fay neither) what Title hath he to it?

I must here observe, That

The Country Parson lays down a Law of Property by this Examination of the Quaker's Conscience;

### A Proposition,

al

he

he

on-

be-

po-

be be

han

m,

her tht, That if any Burthen upon Land shall continue till it lessen the Value of our Lands, it then becomes a Property in the Usurpers, and the Landed Men shall never be discharged, because all subsequent Purchases are made with the Consideration of such an Incumbrance upon the Land.

There are Three remarkable Cafes in our History, which will fall under this Proposition;

I. The ancient Romescot or Peter-Pence was a Penny charged upon every House by Ina, King of the West-Saxons, being at Rome in Pilgrimage, Anno 720, and by Osfa, King of the Mercians, Anno 794, to sustain the English School there. It amounted to 300 Marks and a Noble yearly for the whole Realm, and was paid to the See of Rome on the Feast of St. Peter ad Vincula, being the first Day of August, till it was abolished by Parliament, 25 Hen. 8. Anno 1534.

II. The ancient Dane-gelt was a Tribute of one Shilling, and afterwards of two Shillings, upon every Hyde of Land; originally levied for clearing the Seas of Danish Pyrates, or for purchasing Peace of them, as by Ethelred, who raised for this Purpose first 10,000 l. next 16,000 l. then 36,000 l. and lastly, 48,000 l. Edward the Confessor released this Tax. It was levied again by the Conqueror; and, by W. Rusus; but it was released by Hen. 1. and finally by K. Stephen.

Year to Year by Parliament was usually, as in the 14th of Edw. 3. Stat. cap. 18. The Prelates, Earls, Barons, and all the Commons of the Realm, grant to the King the ninth Lamb, the ninth Fleece, the ninth Sheaf; and of Cities and Boroughs, the very ninth Part of all their Goods and Chattels, to be taken by two Year to come.

Numerous Instances of the like Subfidies may be seen in Rastal's Abridgment.

Now in all these Cases, viz.

which continued a Rent-Charge on Houses for the Space of 800 Years;

2. In

2. In the Case of Dan-egalt, which continued a Rent-Charge upon Land for the Space of 3000 Years; d And, H Janw - made freed from thein

3. In the Case of the ninth Part of the Produce of Land, and the winth Part of the Subjects Goods, which continued very long the usual Subsidy to the Crown; the Produce of his own Labour

The Value of the Lands of England became impaired by Reafon of the Charge, and every Purchafer paid a less Price whilstfuch Incumbrance continued lupon them. " ils

But would our Ancestors have suffered either the Pope, or the Crown, too examine the Consciences of the People of England in the absurd Manner of this Country Parson? Would it have been endured either to fet up a Right in Conscience to the Perpetuity of Peter-Pence, or Dane-gelt, be-s cause every Modern Purchaser had bought his House or Land the cheaper, by Reason of fuch Burthens upon them? 10 Man and 21th

Doubtless the same Questions might have been asked in the Case of Peter-Pence and of Dane-gelt, as in the Case of Tythe, and the same Answers must have been returned. wild Incumbrations u

But the Parson asks further,

ion it when therein

Condition of the

'If you bought your Lands and Tenements with these Incumbrances upon them, what Right have you ever to be

freed from them?

# That I have on the Total

The Right of a free Subject, to enjoy the Produce of his own Labour;

The Right of an English Man, to enjoy his own Possession free and clear of all unreasonable Incumbrances;

And my Title to the incumbred Part of my Estate is so far good as any other?
Man's Title to it is bad.

Employed in the ablord Manner of this Coun-

Charge, an Annuity, or a Mortgage upon it, and these Incumbrances shall be considered in the Purchase; yet is afterwards it appears that the Persons claiming this Rent-Charge, this Annuity, or this Mortgage, never had any just Right therein;

Am I bound to make their bad Title good in Law or Conscience, because I bought the Estate cheaper, on Supposition that they had lawful Incumbrances upon it?

In the Possession of Land I am in the Condition of the prime Occupant. I am not bound to shew my Title to any Man; I am not

not bound to shew that I bought if, or inherit it. It is sufficient that I possessit, unless he can shew a prior or better Right to it.

And whether I bought my Freehold cheaper or dearer, I am by Law and Conficience entitled to hold it as free and clear, as if I had held it from the Creation of the World.

If when the ancient Subsidy of a ninth Part had gained Establishment by Course of Time, the Purchase of Lands became cheaper by reason of this Exaction, would not the Crown have had an Estate of Perpetuity in the ninth Lamb, the ninth Fleece, the ninth Sheaf, and the ninth Part of the Goods of all the Subjects, by the same Rule of Conscience as the Clergy claim an Estate in the Tenth? Because the Continuance of the Usurpation had lower'd the Rents of all the Lands.

This Doctrine of gaining an Estate in Perpetuity through any Imposition upon Land, which by Length of Time makes the Value of it less in subsequent Alienations, and, by pretended Equity, the Interest in it less to all new Purchasers;

C

t

C

n

Is of dangerous Consequence to the whole LANDED INTEREST of this Kingdom.

PETUAL LAND-TAX; because within forty

or fifty Years time, fince the Land hather born this Charge, the Value of Land hather diminished in Proportion; and two Thirds of the Lands of England, having within that Time by Purchase or Exchange passed into the Hands of new Owners (as may probably be the Case of all our Lands before this Tax can be released) therefore the Land Owner, who bought his Land subject to the usual Land-Tax, shall in Equity and Conscience be for ever liable to pay two, three, or four Shillings in the Pound, by Reason of his having bought the Land cheaper.

And the Country Parlon shall ask him those subtile Questions 'Is he a Land Owner? Let him be asked upon his Conscience, whether he paid any Confideration to the Vender of the Land beyond the usual Price. and upon Supposition that no Land-Tax would be due from his Land. Is he a Farmer? Let him be asked upon his Con-· science, whether he pays more Rent than " used to be given for the Farm, and in Con-' fideration of his paying no Land-Tax. ' If he cannot fay that he either bought or hired the Land-Tax (and he can fay neither) what Title hath he to it? and therefore there must be another Owner who hath a just Title to it."

From Rules of Property and Rights of Estate, such as this Country Parson would establish, it must follow, that no Usurpation on the Lands of a Kingdom could ever be resumed

refumed confishently with Conscience; that the Foundations of Ecclesiasticks in the Church of Rome itself ought not to be taken away, because the Priests have the legal Estate vested in them; that the Impositions of arbitrary Power become Matter of Right, in Persons who can work the ancient Land Owners out of their Inheritances; and, that if Ship Money had been exacted for a Length of Time, till the Value of all our Lands had sunder the Exactions, New Purchasers would have had no Right to have been eased of the Burden, because they would have bought the Land charged with it, and cheaper by Reason of it.

In fhort, fuch Consequences are unavoidable from this Part of the Country Parfon's Plea, that were he to shew himself in bis proper Figure, speaking in this Manner against the Right of the People of England to enjoy their own Lands, an Impeaching Parliament might probably charge him as an Enemy to Property; a Betrayer of the Rights and Liberties of the People; advancing false Dostrines of dangerous Consequence to the Constitution of the Kingdom, and which tend to subvert the Protestant Religion, to obstruct all Reformation in the Christian Church, to revive Popery and Popish Foundations, and to subjest the Commons of this Realm to the Yoke of enormous Ecclesiastical Power.

to being a modern the property of

Leaving him to the Discipline of such National Justice whenever it shall find him-

I totally deny him, or any Person living, to possess any Estate in the Land, by Reason of its having been lower'd in Value, through an unjust Imposition; I conceive his Claim of Tythe to have no other Foundation in Law or Conscience than Peter Pence had before it was abolished by Act of Parliament; I conceive them both to have been Usurpations of the fame Nature, which grew and obtain'd in the Times of Darkness and Devotion through the Craft of a mercenary Clergy, and the Superstition of a blind deluded Laity; I likewise apprehend, that as the Wisdom of Parliament utterly abolish'd she one, because it impoverished the Kingdom, so the Representatives of the People have not only a Right, but are bound in Duty to moderate the other, whenever it shall be exorbitant in its Amount, or oppressive in its Exactions. But.

Because the Estate of the Clergy in Tythe, is so much insisted on as their Right in Conscience, it may be fit to enquire on what Consideration they had their original Grants. The Confirmation of King Stephen is an Evidence of this Kind, the Preamble to which is as follows, viz.

+ Because through the Providence of divine Mercy we know it to be so ordered, and

<sup>†</sup> Quoniam Divina Misericordia providente cognovimus esse dispositum, & longe lateq; prædicante Ecclesia, sonat omnibus auribus divulgatum, Quod ELEEMOSYNARUM largitione possunt absolvi vincula peccatorum, & acquiri

by the Church's publishing it far and near, every Body bath heard that by the Distribution of Alms Persons may be absolved from the Bonds of Sin, and acquire the Rewards of heavenly Joss:

3. Stephen by the Grace of God King of England, being willing to have a part with them, who by an happy kind of Trading exchange heavenly things for earthly; and smitten with the Love of God, and for the Salvation of my own Soul, and the Souls of my Father and Mother, and all my Forefathers and Ancestors (confirm Tythes and other Donations to the Church.)

no Du After) fuch a Specimen as this, L cannot have the least doubt that Estates given under such Confiderations, are of all others the most proper for the Disposition of Parliamental But with reference to the Country Parfon's Charge against. the Quaker, that " his Conscience, which will not " allow him to pay Tythe, is a Confaience which " will not permit his Neighbour to take and er enjoy bis own Property? It feemeth reasonable to hear the Quaker speak for himself; And the strongest Writer on this Subject amongst the Quakers, being Anthony Pearson, formerly a Justice of Peace in Westmorland, let us hear what he fays in his great Case of Tythes, on the Question that Tythe ought to be paid as a Rent Charge upon the Estate. Who should aid shunned "

y

ts

C

in

ht

at

s.

to

A

lind by

nus

nat

MU

uiri

Unto which, says be, I answer, to the

ccelestium præmia gaudierum: Ego Stephanus Dei gratia Anglorum Rex partem babere volens cum illis, qui selici commercio cœlestia pro terrenis commutant, Dei amore compunctus, et pro salute animæ meæ, et Patris mei matrisque meæ, et omnium parentum meorum, et antecessorum, &e.

K

Church's thirdlein That though it were true, and could 6 be proved that my Ancestors gave Tythes, and that for every yet am I not thereby bound to pay them, or fland any way chargeable with them. It is true, when they were Owners of Land, they might themselves yield and fer forth what Part of their Increase they pleased, or might have given the Tenth, or any other Part of their Land as they would, or they · might have charged upon the Land what Rent they liked; but they could not charge their · Posterity with that which was no ways theirs, o nor which, in any true Senfe, Construction, or Understanding, they could be faid to have any Property in, and which is not paid by Reason of that which is derived from them. . For, Tythe is neither paid of Land, nor by the Reason of the Land, but is paid by the Reason of the Increase or Renewing; and therefore the Doctrines of the old Fathers, and the Popish Laws for Tythes, do as well require the Payment of the Tenth Part of Men's Profit or Gain, whether by Trade, Commerce, or Merchandize, as of the Fruits of the Earth. Yea, ' the Tenth Part also of Wages, and Personal Increase, tho' not raised immediately by Land: And furely no Man will fay that he pays Tythe of thefe because his Ancestors charged him with them; nor will any Man allow, that another Person, by any ' Gift of his Ancestor, can have another distinct · Property in the Tenth Part of the Fruits of ' his Labour; And the Case is the same as to all 'Tythes, whether predial, personal, or mixed. If I fit still and plough not, no Corn will grow; if I sit still and work not, no Profit will rife; fo that it is my Labour, my Diligence

gence and Industry that raiseth the Tythe, and in my Power it is to make it less or more; and sometime, year often it salls out, that the Tythe of Corn is thrice more worth than the yearly Value of the Land on which it grows; and herein Tythe of Corn is far more hard and uncequal than personal Tythes; for the one pays but a Tenth, all Charges deducted; the other pays the Tenth of Charges and all.

Mine Ancestor could not charge me with that which doth not accrue by Reason of that which I have from him; nor am I bound because mine Ancestor left me Land, to pay ' Tythe, which is not paid by reason of the Land, but of the Increase, unto which I am no more ty'd by Law, than he is who hath increas'd without Land. If I have Land and no Increase, I pay ono Tythes. If I have Increase, though no Land. I ought by Law to pay Tythes. If I hisband my Land fo, that the Increase is not to be fever'd, no Tythe can be recover'd of me; and therefore if I pasture my Land, no Tythe shall be paid for the Grass which is eaten unsever'd, but only a Rate Tythe for that which doth depafure on it; which makes it plain that Tythe is not paid by the Reason of the Land, but of the Stock; and, in that also it lies in my Power to make the Tythe much, little, or nothing; ' If I plough, and fow Corn, the tenth Part of the Increase is generally more worth than the Land on which it grows, which comes not by the Land that descends from the Ancestor, but because of the Increase won with the great · Charge, Industry, and Labour of the Husbandman. If I pasture my Ground with Sheep that ' yield a Fleece, the Tythe will be considerable,

11

1.

11

it ice

though not fo much as by Corn. If I pasture with Cows or Breeding Cattle, a much less Tythe is paid: And if I eat up the Pasture by . Horses or barren Cattle, a small and inconsiderable Rate is only required; though in few Places of the Nation would that have been recovered in the Times when Popifb Laws were at greatest Height. But if I plant Wood, and ' let it stand for Timber; or, if I store my Land with Beasts which be feræ Naturæ, wherein there is no personal Property, no Tythes shall be paid : Or, if I will let my Land lye walte (which may be supposed, because it may be "done) Or will eat my Meadow or Corn standing, no Tythe can be required. All these In-Aances manifest that Tythe hath still Relation to the Stock and personal Estate, and not to the Land; and is paid by the Reason of the Stock, and not of the Land. And fo no Anceftor could lay and perpetuate such a Charge e as Tythe upon it, nor could he bind his Sucseeffor to pay it. If by my Ancestor I am bound to pay Tythe Ratione Tenura, or in Consideration of the Land which he leaves inie, to what Value must it be of? I may syearly pay more Tythe than the Land he leaves me is worth: If I keep it in Tillage, , and if I pasture it I need not pay the twentieth Part. Have I not herein (without Fraud to ' my Ancestor) Power to pay him much or little? How then is Tythe like a Rent certain (which is by some objected?) If Tythes were paid by reason of the Land, furely there is most Reafon, that the Tenth Part of the Grafs renewing upon all Pasture Grounds should be paid; for, the Land still brings that with it; and, it is easily dividable by Rent, or let by Month. If another riguedi.

another hath as good Right to the Tenth Part of the Increase, as the Owner hath to the Nine why cannot he take it without the Owner's e fetting it out, or recover it by Action of Debt or Trefpass? But it is clear there is no Title till . it be set forth; and then, if the Owner of the Land carry it away, an Action of Trespass because he had set it out, and given it to f another, and fo altered his Property, as one Man doth by marking his Cattle for another Man ; and therefore it is, that the Law which commands Tythes, doth not give Power to any to take the Tythe, because he had no Title, but enjoins the Owner to fet it forth, and in fo doing to make it another's by bis own Confent. If any Man claims Tythes by my Ancestor's Gift, may I not ask him, to whom, or for what my Ancestor gave them? And, it is f plain beyond Denial, that all those Gifts of Land or Tythe in England ( fince Austin the Monk planted the Popish Faith, and preached Sup the new Payment of Tythes) were given to Popish Priests, for saying Prayers for the Souls of the Givers and their deceafed Anceftors, as old Confectations do witness: And therefore in Reason, if the Consideration and Service be ceased, so ought also the Wages; for no Man in Law or Equity ought to claim Wages, when he will not do the Work for which it was given; and feeing thefe Popifs Priests and Prayers are laid aside, the Gift (if ' any fuch were, and could be binding) ought to return to the Donor; and may not, without his Confent, be perverted to another Ufe. is and it we out in from us, we may by

h

y sis

But some object (as the Country Parson hath done in his Plea) That

When I or my Ancestor bought the Land, it was sold cheaper (because it was supposed it ought to pay Tythe) than I or my Ancestor could bave bought such Land as was known to be Tythe-free; and therefore, baving a cheaper Bargain, I am bound in Equity to pay Tythe.

### I answer.

' That, I have already proved all Land is Tythe-free; and that the Charge of Tythe is + upon the Stock and personal Estate, and not supon the Land. And, the Strength of this Dijection lies in comparing those who pay Tythes, with those who are free. Those who buy Lands Tythe-free are eased of this Opreffion, and are in no Hazard; and, though all to be fo, yet it being a Quefition, whether they can eafe themselves of the \* Burthen, they buy under a Hazard, and are fubject to fuch a Charge. But if they cast off the Yoke, they get but what is their own; and feeing we have denied the Pope's Authority and Supremacy, we may, so soon as we can, wholly cast off the Burthen which he laid on us : And thus, he that buys Lands in the Years of · Trouble and heavy Taxes may, perhaps, buy " much cheaper than when none or little is paid: · Shall he therefore be required to pay Taxes when others are discharged? Or, shall he that bought cheap Pennyworths on the Borders between England and Scotland, when those Parts were infested with Mols-Troopers, always pay 'Tribute to Thieves and Robbers? We bought Land when the Pope's Yoke was upon our · Necks; and if we cast it from us, we may by as good Reason be eased of our Tythes, as they i,

it

ld e-

r,

d

is

ot

is

ly

10 f-

11

E-

10

re

off ad

br

ly

br

of

Jy

d:

es

at

rts

ay

ht ur by

ey

of

of their Taxes. But if I bought it cheaper,

This is the Quaker's Defence against the Charge of setting up a Title on the Plea of Considence to another Man's Estate; wherein he proves, that this Tenth which the Parson claims in the Produce of Land, is neither his Right by any Condition annexed to the Inheritance, nor by any Consideration allowed in the Purchase; that neither the Donor of the Land gave, or could give it; and that neither Conscience nor Equity can require the Quaker to pay it.

The Question will then depend upon the Judgment of the Legislative Power; and we are still in the Legislative ought to prescribe between the Parson and the Quaker in the Case of Tythes.

The Words perverse, ungodly, undutiful to God and the King, prove nothing but that the Priests who had Power to obtain a Penal Statute,

had

[ 80 ]

had Leave to call People Names in the Preamble to it. But 9

If it be urged as the Sense of those Times concerning Non-payment of Tythe, will the Parison allow one to cite other Statutes made about the same Time as the Sense of the Law Makers upon other Ecclesiastical Pretensions?

little Weight with the Clergy, when it is not on the Side of their Ambition; and therefore I may not perhaps hold it conclusive, when, influenced by their ungodly Management, it lets them loofe to detame and damn their Enemies as Enemies to God and the King.

The Statute of the first Year of Laward 6.1

# We are told upon this looguspalade ludg-

- That Elections of Archbishops and Bills shops, by Deans and Chapters, are as well to the long Delay, as to the great Costs and Charges of such Persons whom the King gives any Archbishoprick or Bishoprick unto, and that the said Elections be in very Deed no Elections, but only by a Writ of Conge D'ELIRE have Colours, Shadows, or Pretences of Elections,
- ferving nevertheless to no Purpose, and seeming also derogatory to the King's PrerogativeRoyal.

This Act of Parliament, though not held at prefent to be in force, doth certainly shew the Sense of our Ancestors on the Subject of Electing Bishops.

Will the Clergy allow us to speak of their pretended Elections of Bishops in the Terms of this Act of Parliament? No it is against Divine Right. If then they will not allow the Institution of Bishops to be tied down to the Preface of a Law made in Edward the Sixth's Time, will they rie every Man down in the Equity of Tythes to the Preface of a Law made in Henry the Eighth's Time? said had Hall over . ( from Act of Parliament's which makes he

I trust in the Right of an English Subject, that we shall not be restrained from a larger Consideration of so important an Affair, and that neither our Duly to God or the King shall be questioned for no better Reason than our difference of Sentiments in the Affair of Tythes.

I reverence an Act of Parliament as much as any Man living. It is the Act of that Power which we are all bound to trust and obey. But I am not so far concluded by an Act of Parliament. that I ought either to believe implicitly whatever it declares, or not to follicit the Repeal of what it may enact. ice in Count develop also recoveries the

## And, I cannot but observe,

1. That when Henry the Eighth unravelling his own Reformation, went retrograde into the worst Measures of Popery, He past the Act of the Six Articles in his 31st Year, wherein he establiffied Auricular Confession and Transubstantiation. of act his Door, he

BinA Cois, and Authopites out of the Science

facult be less torward to gress the Senie of our

Clear, of the a will's

#### And,

i. That in his next Year he past the Act for the Payment of Tythes, wherein is the famous Expression of Persons not regarding their Duties to God and the King.

If therefore Tythes, Transubstantiation, and Auricular Confession, are of the same Growth and Family, we shall find that the same Reasoning from Acts of Parliament which makes the Payment of Tythes a DUTY to God and the King, by the Statute of 32 Hen. 8. would as forcibly prove Transubstantiation and Auricular Confession to be Articles of Faith sit for a Christian to believe in, because they are so declared, by the Statute 31 Hen. 8. which imposed those six Bloody Articles famous in the Story of those Times. And,

To fay that the Act of the Six Articles is repealed, but that the Tythe Act is still in Force, would make the matter infinitely ridiculous; For,

This would suppose that our Duty to God, or our Faith in Christ depends altogether on the Existences and Duration of Acts of Parliament: So that it may be a Duty to God, or not a Duty to God; an Orthodox Creed, or not an Orthodox Creed, as different Parliaments happen to be of different Opinions.

If the Country Parson is displeased that such Absurdities should be laid at his Door, he should be less forward to press the Sense of our Ancestors, and Authorities out of the Statute Books

of this Bill upon the Fris ples of Publick Juffice. Books in Proof of fuch Points as Duties to God, wherein every Man may take the Bible and his own Conscience to be safer Guides than any Act of Parliament and dove to manage all to

leis oppielled py them If he is displeased that the Sense of our Ancestors, and Authorities out of the Statute Books should be urged in support of the Rights of the Laity against the Usurpations of the Clergy, I must tell him, that to protect the People from Injustice, is the proper and effential Care of Parliament, but that to define Duties to God, is beyond the Bounds of Human Authority; and though Parliaments may specify what Doca trines Clergymen shall teach, wet they cannot require any Man to believe them, because though the Parlon is the Servant of the Legislative Power, yet every Man is Master of his own Conyield, erconding to the Collect of Christ, sonsist

od serv has constituted lived of the nothing Tythes, is therefore in every respect as questionable, and as conscientiously to be denied, as if those Laws had never been enacted. And,

cer who all tens their Goods for Tythe. The Payment of Tythes, as a Duty to God. being denied by the Quakers, and by almost all other Men ; who is the Monday would not intoges

out the Tettlerwack in the due the Kinds o The Common Good can be the only justice fiable Reason for imposing it as a common Charge Louis to the The Charge The Charge

than to seches of the separate of med as the contract of Thus, we are once more in the Cafe! where we ought to be, of debating the Merits to is diabled by his Literate and answering

110

of this Bill upon the Principles of Publick Justice.

The People called Quakers, who are most oppressed by Suits for Tythe, apply for the better Regulation of such Suits, that they may be less oppressed by them.

Christ, they cannot pay Tythes or Wages to the Clergy, because they believe in their Consciences that Christ abolished Tythes, and forbid the Payment of Wages routher Priesthood. I more signal and a said a said and another than a said and a said a sai

As Subjects professing a dutiful Obedience to the Government under which they live, they yield, according to the Gospel of Christ, Submission to the Civil Magistrate, and what he takes from them for the Maintenance of the Clergy, they patiently acquiesce in profession as a control of the clergy, they patiently acquiesce in profession as a control of the clergy, they patiently acquiesce in profession as a control of the clergy, they patiently acquiesce in profession as a control of the clergy.

cer who distrains their Goods for Tythe. And,

Can any thing be more reasonable, than to appoint an Officer, who shall at their Charge set out the Tythe when it is due in Kind, or levy it when it ought to be paid in Money? Or,

than to authorize the Parson to sue the Quakers pot only for the Tythe, but for Three times the Value, because he hath not set it out, when he is disabled by his Conscience, and when any other

[.85]

other Man may be appointed by Law to set it out for bim?

We are fold by the Country Parson, that every wise Clergyman, for his Own sake, and every good Clergyman, for his Neighbour's sake, will take the easy and cheap Method which is left to his Option by former Acts of Parliament; And, and took burness was a second made and and and the country and the coun

Therefore, it is inferred, the Legislature ought not to tie the Clergy down to this easy and cheap Method, because the wisest and best of them already use it for their own Sake, and their Neighbours. But,

If the Wisest and Best use it of Choice, this will be an Argument, that the Unreasonable and Unjust should be restrained to it. For,

Are the Subjects of England to have no better Security against Oppression, than the Wildom and Goodness of the Clergy? Or

Ought the Clergy to be trusted with a Power, which, according to the Parson's Confession, neither a wife Clergyman nor a good Clergyman can use, without Mischief either to himself or his Neighbour?

Where the Power of Oppression is, Acts of Oppression will undoubtedly be. No Church, no State, no Body of Men ever had this Power but they used it. And,

Whoever gives an Authority to oppress, is the Author of Oppression.

This

This Truth, which is written in Characters of Blood in all the Histories of Mankind, is Reason and Evidence to justify a Bill which restrains an oppressive Power in the Church:

A Reason so convincing, an Evidence so clear, as makes it triffing with the Justice of Parliament, when the Clergy demand Proof that they do oppress, whilst every Man who hath Eyes must see that they may oppress.

If they may, many of them will: But,

If they may not, none of them can commit Oppression.

time will be an Asquarent, that the JonAs and le and le it, JonAs and live tellecined to it, JonAs

If they have not oppressed by that Power whereby they may oppress; yet, it is incumbent on the Justice of an House of Commons to restrain such oppressive Power.

Pewer, which, according to the Little and

It is more the Duty of Parliament to prevent Grievances than it is to redress them; it is more compassionate to save Men from Injustice than to relieve them under it; it is an happier Effect of Law to preserve Property than to repair it; it is a nobler Act of Justice to restrain a Crime than to punish it; and it is a more perfect Constitution of Government under which Oppression is not practicable, than that under which it is practised, and may be punished.

By a Paper which I have seen in the Hands of Gentlemen (since this Bill hath been depending) entitled, An Account of Prosecutions of the People called Quakers in the several Courts, since the 7th and 8th of King William the Third, Anno 1696, when the Affirmation-Act was obtained, which gave Justices of the Peace Power to judge of Tythe demanded under the Value of Ten Pounds;

### It appears that there have been,

Prosecutions	Prison. Died in Prison
In Chancery 38	10 1
In the Exchequer 787	185 112
In the Ecclefiafti- 269	81 2
In the Common- Law Courts 39	Two was Shillings.
lamin to the In all 1253	129224 30

The Quakers add, That 'they are very imperfect, as to the whole Number of Suits brought, because several times such Suits were made an End of in the Country, and no Account given of them to their Meeting of Sufferings; and many times when Friends were discharged from Imprisonment by Acts for Relief of Infalvent Debtors, there were no Accounts given; and the like Deficiency often happened, when their Relations paid to release them out of Goal.

They alledge, That the Demands for Tythe' have been innumerable within forty Years past; but that the Nature of the Prosecutions, and Sums' levied

levied by them may be judged of, they have collected 44 Cases, where the Demands amount to 1881. 35, 8 d. and the Sums levied to 2252 L 65, 10 d.

- One Isaac Averil was profecuted three feveral times for three several Sums amounting to 191. for which he had taken from him 1871. 105.
- There was one Friend a Prisoner Ten
  Years for Forty Shillings.

Je wineaus that there have been

- 'Another, a Prisoner, Four Years for One Shilling.
- Two were Prisoners Five Years for Twenty Shillings.
- One was a Prisoner Nine Years for small Tythe.
- One was a Prisoner Six Years for Four Pound Ten Shillings.
- They find an Account of fifteen Persons
  of prosecuted for above Ten Pounds each;
- The Demands on the whole fifteen being 313 l, 95, 6 d, there was taken from them for that Demand 1068 l. 7 s. 4 d. 29
- 'They further specify the Names of the following Persons with the Tythe demanded under the Value of Ten Pounds, and the Sum' levied on Account thereof, viz.

[13	39 ]	1				
in flerein iperifod, The		s.	d.	1.	5.	d.
James Haviland	- 8	00	0	61	00	0
Thomas Strong						
Richard Case					11	6
Thomas Drape	- 4	10	1	50	00	0
Robert Holliday					00	0
Henry Wake					00	0
Josiah Williams -	· P 2	00	0	30	00	0
John Taylor	- E 1	5	. 8	₩ 44	18	0
Alexander Moore	- ਹ 6	15	II	₹ 87	16	11
Jeremiah Ellis						0
George Bewley -	33	10	.0	₽93	10	0
Sam. Tully & T. Warner	E 3	/2	8	R 75	16	0
William Pearson -	20	13	0	19	16	0
Jonathan Peaseley	37	2,0	0	237	5	0
Daniel Williams -	90	2 <b>I</b> )	6	100	0	9
Thomas Ellwood -	. 0	12	0	24	7	6
Abraham Butterfield -						0
Roger Jenkins -						
Richard Allen	001	15	6	. 80	0	
Thomas Jenkins	1	5	0,	67	10	00
John Townsend	4	00	0	25.77	14	0
Francis Chairman —	7	16	0	73.	0	.0.
one bundred Pounts, for	663	1051	4	1484	6	iY

This is a Specification of Suits, which the Country Parson and his Colleagues complain of, as omitted in the Case of the Quakers. I am told, that the Registers of their Meeting of Sufferings have recorded the Cases at large; and that they will inform any Gentlemen of the Particulars of their Hardships; which I mention, because, having no Acquaintance amongst them, I am without suller Information myself, nor have I applied where, I believe, I could not be resused; because, if I knew the exactest State of every Case, it could not be discoursed of within the Exactest of these Papers.

M

The

The Number of Suits herein specified, the Prisoners, the Distreffes, and the immense Disparity between the Demand and Sum exacted in Suits for Tythe, must raise Abhorrence in any compassionate Mind. The fingle Article of ONE HUNDRED Pounds Taken for eighteen Pence would be just Provocation for abolishing all the Tythes of the Clergy, If their Suits could not be carried on in more humane Methods. Such an Instance of Oppression in any Civil Concern, would raise an Infurrection; and, that Men endure it from their Spiritual Guides, shews the Universal Passion for an Interest in another World; though, the utmost Force of Imagination cannot paint an HELL more terrible to our Fears, than what the Cruelty of the Clergy daily fets before our Eyes.

In 1153 Suits they made 292 Prisoners; in 15 Suits where the Claims amounted to 213 Pounds, they exacted 1068 Pounds, which was at the Rate of one bundred Pounds for less than thirty Pounds; in 44 Suits where the Claims amounted to 188 Pounds, they exacted 2252 Pounds. which was at the Rate of one hundred Pounds, for little more than 8 Pounds; and, in 22 Suits where the Claims amounted to 66 Pounds, they exacted 1484 Pounds, which was at the Rate of one bundred Pounds for less than four Pounds ten Shillings. Or if we take these &r Suits all together, the Sums demanded make 567 Pounds, which compared with 4804 Pounds, the total Sum taken, is in the Proportion of one bundred Pounds levied, for every Sum of eleven Pounds five Shillings demanded.

Compute the Medium of the Charge in any Manner, it is monstrous and enormous. Suits attended with such Costs are a Scandal on the Judice

flice of the Kingdom, not to mention the Profession of the Gospel. And therefore this Bill, which is design'd to shorten Suits and limit Costs, will vindicate the Laws as much as it will case the People.

I perfectly agree with the Country Parson in this Plea, 'That to secure Property is one main End of Government, and that therefore all Opinions, all Practices inconsistent with the Preservation of Property, are also inconsistent with Government and Society.'

No Man can be more tender of Property than I have ever been, and always shall be. But I think the Laity have a Property in their Goods as well as the Clergy in Tythe, most not speed.

That nine Parts in ten are a more valuable Property than a single Tenth can pretend to be;

That the Property of a Tenth ought not to devour the Property of all the other Nine;

And that it is destructive of all Property to levy four Thousand eight Hundred and four Pounds on the Laity, where the Sum of 567 Pounds is the whole Demand of the Clergy.

The Country Parson hath a Conceit, that this Bill is the only Instance of an Application to any Government, in the known World, to countenance an Opinion destructive to the Property of any of the Subjects.

But furely, if it be his Conception, he is wrong, vain, and unwarrantable,

M 2

The

thee of the Kingdon, That Lands held in Mortmain, or that the Stagnation of Property in dead Hands, is contrary to the Good of the Kingdom, hath been the Opimion of our Parliaments and the Principle of our Laws, ever fince the Foundation of this Government, however destructive it must be to what Churchmen call their Property. But fürther,

.50 Hall averde To

are allo incommittent

That the Quakers hold the Maintenance of the Clergy to be Antichristian and unreasonable, is Fact , to rebest entry of and rely

That any Sect should account it a sufficient Charge upon them to maintain their own Teachers. is but Reason: That mere Party in ten are a mare safeable

Property than a fingle I can precebia. De s

That the Quakers, who pay nothing at all to their own Teachers, should be obliged to maintain the Teachers of any other Sect, is to them not only an Hardship, but an Abomination.

Now this, which tends to take away the Maintenance of the Priesthood, may to a Parson feem very naturally destructive of what He calls his Property. But,

Because the Quaker with-holds the Tenth which the Law gives the Parson a Title to, shall be take the other Nine which the Law can give him no Title to? And, by reason of a Claim of 567 l. consume Four Thousand Eight Hundred and Four Pounds of the Quaker's Substance?

And, more prodigious! Shall he take an Hundred Pounds for Eighteen Pence?

I envy the Clergy no Maintenance which the Law gives, or can give them; But, the Maintenance of the Priesthood ought not to devour the Substance of the whole People, like the Ears of Corn in Pharaon's Dream, that sprung up withered, thin, and blasted with the East Wind; and, devoured the Ears that were full and good.

way, or for making less, that Maintenance which the Law allows them. But I have always been of Opinion, that to ask it from the Good Will of Society, and to take it without Cruelty or Oppression, will more sirmly establish their Revenues than the most arrogant Claims of Divine Right, on the most rigorous Measures of Eccle-staffical Tyranny.

I firmly believe, that to feek for no more than their Due, would be the furest Means of always receiving their Due;

I have ever observed, that the more they talk of their Privileges, the less other People think of them:

And, whatever Light I may stand in with the Reverend Order, I believe I am a better Friend to their Interests than many of themselves can pretend to be; for they would maintain that exorbitant Usurpation of Power which they cannot make use of without making themselves most odious; whereas, I would disable them from hurting their their Calling, or the Cause of Religion, by Tyranny or by Injustice.

I am of Opinion, that if the Church shall on every Occasion oppose itself to the loud Complaint of the Land, and persist in these Obstinate Claims of Powers, too heavy to be borne, they will make the Cause of the Church such a Load upon its Friends, that the Torrent of publick Resentment will be stronger than any Minister can stem; the Passions of Mankind unreasonably provoked, will not be easily appealed, and though a small Sacrifice might have contented every one in the Beginning, yet when popular Ragelis too far incensed, a great One will not satisfy in the End.

Nothing so much calms and sweetens Mankind, as the frank Redress of a Crying Grievance. Any single Hardship generously taken away, disposes the Bulk of Mankind to endure a Thousand; they are subdued by Gentleness and Mercy, but grow restiff and head-strong under Opptession.

In all Societies and States, it is seen from the Parish Priest to the Prince and Ruler of the Country, that He who asks with the greatest Moderation, is paid with the greatest Liberality.

I am therefore most clear, that the Country Parson is an Enemy to the Interest of his Order, by Obstinately opposing that Ease which the People aggrieved by Tythe are likely to obtain by this Bill.

'He fays indeed, that passing this Bill in Compliance to the Obstinate Quakers, will make them be esteemed as Confessors, who by their Steadiness

Steadiness have made the Law give Way, and

exalted their misguided Consciences above the

Property of their Fellow-Subjects.' But,

May it not be faid with greater Truth

That rejecting this Bill, in Compliance to the Obstinate Clergy, will make them be considered as a Dead Weight upon the Constitution; which, by its continual Obstruction, keeps common Justice at a Stand, and exalts the Ambition of Churchmen above the Rights of their Fellow-Subjects.

Is any Property in the Kingdom facred from Regulation besides theirs? or, is it fit that any should be?

Hath not the Wisdom of Parliament provided Laws for the Limitation of Suits, and for the Prevention of Frivolous and Vexatious Arrests?

Have not Acts been made to regulate the Courts, and Officers of Justice, to discharge Prisoners for Debt, and to reform the Goals?

Yet,

Did any Man oppose these Acts by Claims of Birthright in the Law, and Insolent Demands of Property, as if the Law could stand in Obstruction to Publick Justice, or Property in any one be a Reason for the Oppression of all?

repeal of smend any Law Hench

To adjust the Bounds of Property, and to make the Power of every Subject compatible with the Safety of the Rest, are the highest Ends of Government: And therefore all Opinions, all Prattices, which tend to the Exemption of any Order of Men from Publick Enquiry, or Publick Justice, are utterly inconsistent with Government and Society.

If an Order of Men have Advantages from Law, which are inconsistent with Justice, ought not the Law to give way for the free Course of Justice? Or ought the Legislative Power to exist in vain?

Is it to be a Power, which in any Case having been misguided to oppress, shall for ever remain without Capacity to right the injured Subject?

If Arguments of this fort had prevailed with our Fathers, we should have continued as absolute Bigots and Slaves to the Church, at this Hour, as our Fellow Christians are in Italy and Spain.

If Arguments of this fort prevail for the time to come, we shall never be allow'd to repeal or amend any Law Beneficial to the Priesthood, however Grievous to the People, since the Priests claim a Birthright in the Law, to bound the Legislative Power. But,

The Bill ought to pass, were it only for the sake of an Example, that it is not in the Power of a Mitred Doctor, by his Letters Missive stirring up Petitions from every Diocese, to intimidate an House of Commons in a Matter of this high Concern to the Justice of the Kingdom.

the Waste Paper on the Clerks Table, I hope, a Body of English Gentlemen will never weigh Petitions in Quantity against any Bill whatever; especially Bills for the Reformation of the Church, against which, they are certain of having as many Remonstrances as there are Deaneries, Archdeaconeries, Chapters, Colleges, or Ecclesiastical Precincts in England, Wales, and the Town of Berwick upon Tweed.

Another Reason that the Bill should pass, is, that if it do pass, the Clergy are desirous to have it made general.

This feems to be the only equitable Proposition in the Country Parson's Plea; Though I have been told, that his Brethren wisely hope to defeat the Bill, by extending the Benefit of it to All the People of England! But,

I cannot believe, that any Man living will distike a Bill because He and his Friends are to share the good Effects of it, as well as the People called Quakers.

Nor, will I imagine, that any Set of Men can be so simple as to be against this Act for the Ease of the Quakers, on account of its being so highly expedient for every one else.

l am exceedingly desirous that it may be made general; and, that the Committee of the disbole House may secure not only the Quakers, but the whole People of England from Ecclesiastical Oppression. Yet,

N

only (which I am credibly informed that People do not delirey) him to make a whole in the property of the control of the property of the control of the cont

enco vinsel vm svad alebrisven elahe if nurch, against which, they are certain of ha, soreiting many Remonstrances as there are Deaneries, Arch-

Because, when a Law is obtained of so much Good to one Part of the Subjects, it will be more easy to procure the like Relief for all the rest; And,

An House of Commons will have this Act of Indulgence to build upon, as the Foundation of universal Liberty. For this Reason,

We ought to favour the Quakers as the first Movers in the Cause of Liberty; and not withstanding the unhandsome and unwarrantable Language which some of the Clergy bestow upon this innocent People,

I will say what my Experience of them can justify, (nor was I without a full Knowledge of them in the former part of my Life;)

They deserve Protection and Indulgence as much as any Part of his Majesty's Subjects:

They are unquestionably attached to the Succession in his Protestant Royal Family, and zealous in promoting the Felicity of his Reign:

They are naturally interested in the Liberties of England, as a People whose Religion can have no other Resuge:

E 99 1

They are universally employed in Trade and Industry; they have the smallest Number of Members either unprofitably Rich, or miserably Poor; and, they are the least to be accused of Luxury, Corruption, or Law-Suits of any Sett of Men in the Kingdom.

And, in Justice to their Principles,

I have ever thought their Religion to be well deserving of Countenance from a Free and Wise Government. For,

It tends to establish no Hierarchy, to monopolize no Property, to invest no Lands in useless or dangerous Societies, to form no Interest separate from the common Good, to detach no Part of the People from natural Industry, nor to enslave any other Part by Bigottry and Blind Dependance.

If some little Singularity in their Forms may occasion Witless Drollery on their Persons, Wise Men will excuse them, from the good Effects even of their most rigid Institutions. For,

By this Means they preserve a Modesty of Apparel amongst their People, which no Sumptuary Laws that have been made in England could produce amongst us; and, a Purity of Manners, which shew our Reforming Societies to be as despicable as they are Useless, or Worse.

know them, as stiff and ungraceful in their Desportment, so far is it from being true, there are N'2 not

[ 186 ]

Affability, more social Kindness, and easy Humanity than many of the Quakers, who are taxed with stiffness of Behaviour by the Priesthood; though there is not a Day of Sun-shine, but the Express Image of all Priest-Crast may be seen in St. James's Park, Cloathed in Church-Buckram, with that insolent Grimace and powerless Formality, which would not less move the Contempt of a Quaker, than the Indignation of a Gentleman.

Lastly,

If the Quakers are traduced by a defaming Parson, as not regarding their Duties to God and the King, because they will not yield Tythe to the Clergy;

It may for the Conclusion of this Discourse, be justly observed in their Favour; That,

1. As to their Duty to Almighty God,

Not conceiving the Clergy to have any Right of Maintenance from Reason or Revelation, this People who do not pay it, are justified in refusing it. Bur,

That the Clergy, who whenever they administer the Gospel by Deputation, rarely give their Substitute a better Maintenance, than any Gentleman gives to a Livery Servant,

Should Tax the Laity, and encumber the Land, to be maintained in the Luxury of Lords, and the Pride of Sovereign Princes: Or,

Should, in the Instance of every Country Parson, think it reasonable to demand in recompence for the Cure of Souls, five times, nay frequently ten times, as much as any Parson alive will pay his Curate for discharging the Office in his stead;

Is such a Contradiction, so full of Endrance mity and Imposture, as gives not only every Quaker, but every Man Cause to conclude, that all of the Profession ask more than any of them deserve, by their own Rule of paying Priests Wages."

And,

2. As to their Duty to the King,

The Quakers never were questioned till they were reflected on by this Gountry Parson, whose Loyalty perhaps wants a better Argument to convince the World of its Sincerity, than this immoderate Zeal for Tythe:

A Person, of whom it may be fairly said, because it is notoriously true,

That he had so far abandoned the Obligation of his Oaths, as to have no Method of making the World suspect Him of Ordinary Allegiance, but by the most prostitute Compliances; and, that He was a facobite in all Men's Opinion, till, as the lowest Implement and Advocate of Corruption, He shew'd the Whiggs, that with him it was more natural to be the Slave of their Power, than a Proselyte to their Principles of Liberty.

From fuch a Man, such a Charge of not regarding Duties to Gop and the King, ought to be considered as pure Defamation on the Quakers, proceeding from Malevolence to the Rights of Ad Mankind;

It can make no Impression to their Dis-

And therefore I humbly hope, that the



"The Qualitre never were questioned till

whose Levely perhaps wants a better Argument to conference the West of its Simonly, than this immediately Zeas for which

A Perilo, I while it Lay T. fairly faid, or author it is not reachly true,

The let in the standard of the Oili
The let in the City and the City of the

